Analysis of variation in pre-procedural fasting duration for common inpatient gastrointestinal procedures
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Background: Gastrointestinal procedures generally require pre-procedural fasting to optimize sedation safety. While the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) recommends no intake of clear liquids and solid food 2–4 and 6–8 hours respectively prior to endoscopic procedures, the actual nil per os (NPO) duration for these procedures in practice is unknown. Our objective was to analyze NPO duration for patients undergoing these procedures and to determine its association with clinical and administrative variables.

Methods: Inpatient data from 2016–2018 for the three procedures was extracted from electronic medical records and administrative data at a single-center tertiary academic medical center. Various statistical tests (Kruskal-Wallis, Wilcoxon, Pearson) were employed depending on the outcome type and data distribution.

Results: One thousand three hundred and twenty-five esophagogastroduodenoscopies (EGDs), 753 colonoscopies, and 550 endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatographies (ERCPs) were included. The median NPO time for all procedures was 12.6 hours (IQR: 9.6–16.1). The median NPO times were 12.6, 11.9, and 13.1 hours for EGD, colonoscopy, and ERCP respectively. NPO duration was greater for Hispanic than non-Hispanic patients (median 13.9 vs. 12.4, P=0.018). NPO duration was also associated with increased age (r=0.041, P=0.027) and inversely related to hospital occupancy (r=-0.08, P<0.0001). There were no statistically significant associations with provider type, hospital location or service, length of stay, and total number of comorbidities.

Conclusions: NPO times for common inpatient gastroenterology (GI) procedures generally exceeded 12 hours, suggesting there is an opportunity to adopt changes to decrease NPO duration for low-risk patients while maintaining adherence to guidelines and best practice.
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Introduction

Gastrointestinal procedures are common with an estimated 11.0 million colonoscopies, 6.1 million esophagogastroduodenoscopies (EGDs), and 169,500 endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatographies (ERCPs) performed each year (1). Many of these procedures occur in the inpatient setting and generally include some form of sedation, which can impair the upper airway protective reflexes, increasing the perioperative risk of regurgitation and pulmonary aspiration (2). As a result, patients are generally required to fast pre-procedurally to minimize the risks associated with sedation unless in case of emergency. However, fasting can be associated with decreased patient dissatisfaction (3) and can potentially impact clinical outcomes (4,5) through mechanisms such as dehydration and hypoglycemia (6).

Although there is known variation in inpatient nil per os (NPO) practices (6), guidelines from the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) recommend no intake of clear liquids and solid food 2–4 hours and 6 hours (8 hours if intake includes meat, fried or fatty foods) respectively prior to endoscopic procedures (2). The ASA cites randomized control trials which demonstrate less thirst and hunger as well as lower risk of aspiration for the recommended clear liquid guidelines compared to higher fasting time (over 4 hours) (7-9). These studies also found no difference in terms of gastric volume, gastric pH, and blood glucose values when comparing 2–4 hours and greater than 4 hours nutritional or carbohydrate drink fasting (10-13). Moreover, it has been found that a 6- and 1-hour fasting period for solids and water respectively prior to upper gastroenterology (GI) endoscopy yields good endoscopic vision as well as minimizes patient discomfort (14).

However, despite the evidence in favor of short pre-procedural fasting durations, clinical practice patterns at hospitals around the world commonly begin NPO orders at midnight prior to a procedure regardless of the scheduled time of the procedure (3). This scenario may be exacerbated when there is ambiguity in diagnostic or treatment plans resulting in patients being left NPO for days. Because long inpatient pre-procedural fasting can negatively impact a patient’s experience as well as clinical outcomes, the objective of our study was to understand better what typical NPO patterns for common GI procedures are and how they might vary by demographic, clinical, and operational characteristics at a large academic medical center.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tgh-20-280).

Methods

The study was conducted by The Johns Hopkins Hospital Office of Patient Experience and the Division of Gastroenterology using de-identified data for the purposes of quality improvement. The study conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). Data for this study was extracted retrospectively from the Casemix data system, and the Epic electronic medical record. Adult patients (age 18 or older) included in this analysis had either an EGD, colonoscopy, or ERCP associated with their inpatient hospital stay, who were admitted between July 28, 2016 and January 31, 2018 (553 days duration). Exclusion criteria include NPO times over 72 hours, as these patients likely had other reasons for their NPO status. This threshold was determined through a general survey of inpatient clinical providers.

NPO times were calculated based on the duration of time between when the NPO order went into effect and when the “Patient in Procedure Room” order was entered. If multiple NPO orders were placed on the same patient during a single hospitalization, instances included for the study were the NPO order that went into effect most proximally prior to the aforementioned procedures.

Statistical analysis

The timing, duration, and number of NPO orders in relation to their procedures were tallied. Statistical analysis was performed using the R statistical package. Kruskal-Wallis tests, Wilcoxon tests, and Pearson tests were used depending on the outcome type and data distribution. In comparing the distribution of two groups, the Wilcoxon statistical test was used. For comparing more than two groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Lastly, the Pearson test was used to assess linear correlation.

Results

During the study period, 2,913 hospitalizations and ED visits at the Johns Hopkins Hospital with at least one associated colonoscopy [796], EGD [1,526] or ERCP [591] procedure were included. Most patients were male (51%), white (53%), non-Hispanics (96%) with an average and median age of 60 years, (Q25%: 47 years and Q75%: 69 years).
The median duration of patient NPO status for all procedures was 12.60 hours (IQR: 9.60–16.10 hours; Figure 1). The median NPO duration for ERCPs was 13.1 hours, while the median NPO durations for EGDs and colonoscopies were 12.6 and 11.9 hours, respectively (Figure 2). NPO durations for EGDs and ERCPs were statistically greater than those for colonoscopies (P=0.0082 and 0.0029).

The distribution of times the NPO orders went into effect are depicted in Figure 3 with approximately 63% of orders effective at midnight. The remainder of NPO orders were largely evenly distributed throughout the day.

Duration of NPO was stratified by race and ethnicity. The median NPO time for Black/African-American patients was 11.9 hours; 12.6 hours for White/Caucasian patients; and for “other” patients was 13.8 hours. The difference between the “other” category and the former categories was statistically significant (P=0.0037 and 0.013, respectively; Figure 4). Asian patients did not have statistically significantly different NPO times compared to other groups. Hispanic patients spent more time NPO than non-Hispanic patients (13.9 vs. 12.4 hours, respectively, P=0.018). Differences were also seen based on age, with

Figure 1 Distribution of NPO wait times (median 12.6 hours). NPO, nil per os.

Figure 2 Distribution of NPO wait times by procedure: colonoscopy (median 11.9 hours), EGD (median 12.6 hours), and ERCP (median 13.1 hours). NPO, nil per os; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.
increasing age correlating with longer NPO times \( (r=0.041, \ P=0.027; \text{ Figure 5}) \).

There was a statistically significant negative correlation between inpatient hospital occupancy and NPO times, indicating that patients were found to spend less time NPO as inpatient hospital occupancy increased \( (r=-0.08, \ P<0.0001; \text{ Figure 6}) \). There was no statistical difference in NPO duration across the 53 units and 26 provider teams included in the cohort (Figure 7). In addition, there was no statistically significant association between NPO duration and: patients’ sex; number of comorbidities; intensive care unit days; length of stay; or provider type (physician or non-physician).

**Discussion**

The vast majority of the three common GI procedures had NPO durations between 10 and 16 hours as represented by the 25–75% interquartile range. These values may largely be explained by the historical practice of keeping patients NPO after midnight. However, with ASA guidelines recommending a clear liquids fast duration between 2–4 hours and increasing
evidence to support the benefits of decreased fasting durations (2), there is likely an opportunity to improve the current clinical practice at our institution. Liberalizing NPO durations will not be appropriate for all patients, particularly those that are acutely ill, have unknown trajectories, or have established co-morbid conditions that confer increased risk. However, given the majority of patients undergoing inpatient procedures are not in extremis, identifying lower-risk patients who can tolerate a decreased NPO durations, may improve overall experience for these patients without sacrificing clinical outcomes which has been supported in other clinical contexts (15-17). The utilization of new digital tools, such as electronic decision support, may aid in streamlining the process of risk-stratifying patients. This has been demonstrated successfully in other clinical areas, such as deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis (18). Another possible intervention, given that providers commonly prescribe NPO after midnight regardless of scheduled procedure time, would be to implement an NPO prescribing algorithm within the electronic health record that calculates the NPO start time based on scheduled procedure time rather than making the NPO order effective at a particular time irrespective of the

Figure 5 Association of NPO times and age. Greater age is correlated with increased NPO duration. NPO, nil per os.

Figure 6 Association of NPO times and hospital bed occupancy. NPO duration decreases with increasing hospital occupancy. NPO, nil per os.
The differences in NPO duration between Hispanic and non-Hispanic patients may be a proxy for the impact of potential language barriers resulting in care delays which has been previously established (19,20). However, since language proficiency and other variables such as nursing staffing ratio and communication modality were not available, this relationship cannot be established. The relationship between increasing age and longer NPO duration may also be a manifestation of established disparities described in the care of the elderly (21,22), though the absolute differences in times across the age spectrum are still relatively small. The inverse relationship with hospital occupancy may reflect a heightened urgency to get patients treated sooner to reduce a backlog of cases. The lack of correlation with length of stay suggests that these GI procedures may not have been the rate-limiting step in a patient’s discharge. In addition, the lack of variation across provider teams and hospital locations, suggest that NPO duration is relatively insulated from the nuances based on clinical specialty or local geographic practice.

Limitations

The data for this study was collected retrospectively which limits any inferences on causality of NPO times. However, the focus of this analysis was to characterize the nature of NPO duration and to understand the variation in practice. In-depth case review was not feasible in this context. Future investigation should include the prospective collection of clinical variables, including indication for procedure, urgency of procedure, active clinical co-morbidities, and pre- and post-laboratory values for hypovolemia and hypoglycemia. In addition, operational data such as nursing staffing ratios, time since GI consultation, time of EHR order entry, and characterization of procedure delays would provide understanding into the causal relationships impacting fasting duration.

Lastly, the study being performed at a single institution may also be a limitation to generalizability. However, this is mitigated by the fact that the sample size included in this study was large and reflected a diverse community. In addition, inpatient endoscopy workflow protocols are typically similar across institutions.

Significance

Our findings are the first to describe inpatient NPO durations for common GI procedures and explore variations across these times. With its large, diverse sample of procedures, our study findings are likely extrapolatable to other large academic medical centers and perhaps even more broadly to all hospitalized patients undergoing these procedures given that endoscopy workflow is generalizable.

Conclusions

NPO times for common inpatient GI procedures are
commonly over 12 hours in duration. These findings may provide the needed context to support future changes to how NPO times are implemented in practice. With this, there is a clear opportunity to adopt changes in practice to decrease NPO duration for low-risk patients and still maintain adherence to guidelines and best practice. Future studies should further evaluate the impact of NPO duration on clinical outcomes as well as quality metrics such as patient experience scores. In addition, the underlying drivers of disparities in NPO times should also be explored.
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