A retrospective analysis of pancreas operations in children
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Background: Operative intervention for pediatric pancreas diseases is rare. Our goal is to gain a better understanding of the indications and outcomes relating to pancreas surgery in children. We hypothesized that these operations are safe and effective in this population.

Methods: With IRB approval, we performed a retrospective review of data of pediatric patients (<18 years) who underwent pancreas operations at Children’s Medical Center in Dallas, Texas from January 2005 to December 2018. These procedures included distal, central and total pancreatectomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy, and lateral pancreateojunostomy. Demographics, surgical indication, and operative and postoperative outcomes were examined.

Results: Forty-six children underwent 47 pancreas operations. Pancreatic mass was the most common indication for resection (n=28, 60%), followed by traumatic injury (n=10, 21%) and chronic pancreatitis (n=8, 17%). The overall complication rate was 0.55 (range, 0–3) complications per procedure, including 4 pancreatic leaks. The overall unexpected hospital visit rate (emergency department and readmissions) was 0.76 (range, 0–6) visits per patient. There were no mortalities.

Conclusions: While pancreas operations are rare procedures in children, our data demonstrate clear indications in this population with an associated low complication rate. This retrospective series highlights the role of pancreas resection in children.
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Introduction

Operative pancreatic interventions are relatively rare in the pediatric population (1-3). There are a variety of indications which may require pancreas surgery in children. These include malignancy, trauma, and chronic pancreatitis (4-7). The literature reviewing pancreas procedures in children is growing, yet remains sparse with regards to a comprehensive understanding of how particular pancreas operations are applied across a range of childhood pancreatic disorders. Data regarding the indications for pancreas operations and the ensuing outcomes is needed in this population in order to better inform clinical management and direct future studies. This report reviews all pancreas operations performed in children at a single institution. We desired to demonstrate the indications for these procedures and hypothesized that these operations are safe and effective in the pediatric population. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tgh-20-260).

Methods

Database and case identification

This is a retrospective single-institution observational
After institutional review board (IRB) approval, the electronic medical record was reviewed for all children ages 0 to 18 years who underwent the following operations: distal pancreatectomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple), lateral pancreaticojejunostomy (Puestow), central pancreatectomy, and total pancreatectomy. All cases were included to avoid sampling biases. Our institution does not perform total pancreatectomy for islet auto-transplantation. Cases were identified based upon current procedural technology\textsuperscript{R} (CPT) codes corresponding with the listed procedures. No children were excluded. Given the retrospective nature of the study, the requirement for informed consent was waived by the IRB. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center and Children’s Health (IRB # STU 022015-094).

### Outcomes and analysis

Following case identification, charts were reviewed in a standardized fashion to obtain the following data: demographics, operative indications, procedure duration, estimated blood loss (EBL), length of hospital stay (LOS), presence of intensive care unit (ICU) stay and duration, pathologic diagnosis, complications, readmissions, and mortality. Follow-up was defined by outpatient postoperative clinic visits and recorded in months. Data was collected via standardized form to reduce bias during abstraction. There was no missing data. Descriptive calculations included frequencies for categorical variables and means and ranges for numerical variables. All statistical analyses were completed with SPSS 25 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

### Results

A total of 46 patients underwent 47 pancreas resections during the study period. Table 1 summarizes patient data by type of pancreas resection, including indications for surgery and demographics. Pancreatic mass was the most common indication for resection (n=28, 60%), followed by traumatic injury (n=10, 21%) and chronic pancreatitis (n=8, 17%). With regards to operative intervention for pancreas trauma, there were 174 total cases of pancreas trauma during the study period, including contusion and laceration. Therefore, approximately 6% of pancreas trauma patients required operative intervention at our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Distal, n=28</th>
<th>Central, n=1</th>
<th>Total, n=1</th>
<th>Whipple, n=9</th>
<th>Puestow, n=8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>16, 57%</td>
<td>1, 100%</td>
<td>1, 100%</td>
<td>5, 56%</td>
<td>5, 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>13 (3–18)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9 (0.4–13)</td>
<td>14 (10–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>10, 36%</td>
<td>1, 100%</td>
<td>4, 44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>9, 32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8, 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmoid</td>
<td>3, 11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNET</td>
<td>2, 7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2, 22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPMN</td>
<td>1, 4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castleman’s</td>
<td>1, 4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatoblastoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 100%</td>
<td>1, 11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenule</td>
<td>1, 4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile xanthogranuloma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic pseudocyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPT, solid pseudopapillary tumor; PNET, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor; IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm.
There were 28 (60%) distal pancreatectomies, 1 (2%) central and 1 (2%) total pancreatectomies, 9 (20%) Whipple, and 8 (17%) Puestow procedures performed. Two distal pancreatectomies were performed in the same patient for recurrent desmoid tumor. This was the only recurrent tumor that required reoperation; other malignancies including pancreatoblastoma achieved complete excision. There was a female predominance across all operations and age at surgery ranged from 5 months to 18 years. Indications for each operation are listed in the table. Across all operations, the most common pathology was solid pseudopapillary tumor (SPT) (n=14, 31%), followed by traumatic injury (n=10, 22%) and chronic pancreatitis (n=7, 16%). There were no patients treated for congenital hyperinsulinism at our institution.

Intraoperative outcomes are shown in Table 2. The average length of procedure was 4.5 hours (range, 1.9–11.1 hours) with an EBL of 210 mL (range, 1–1,500 mL). Four (9%) patients required intraoperative transfusion. Twelve (26%) patients were admitted to the ICU postoperatively for an average stay of 3.5 days (range, 1–13 days). Postoperatively, 11 (23%) patients required total parental nutrition. Average total LOS was 9.8 days (range, 1–62 days). Drains were placed intraoperatively at the index operation in 29 (62%) of the cases; only one patient required interventional drainage postoperatively.

The overall complication rate was 0.55 (range, 0–3) complications per procedure, including 4 pancreatic leaks; 19 patients (40%) had any complication postoperatively. Therefore, 60% of cases experienced no postoperative complications. All complications are listed in Table 3. The most common complications were ileus and pancreatic leak. Other complications included wound infection and dehiscence, ileus, pulmonary edema, intra-abdominal infection requiring drainage, acute pancreatitis, pneumonia, seizure, hemorrhage and diabetes. Ileus was defined by inability to tolerate oral intake for >3 days postoperatively, or need for parenteral nutrition and/or nasogastric drainage with inability to tolerate oral intake. The overall unexpected hospital visit rate (emergency department and readmissions) was 0.76 (range, 0–6) visits per patient. Average length of follow up with 20 months (range, 0–93 months) (Table 2).

Table 2 Operative and postoperative outcomes of pediatric pancreas operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Distal, n=28</th>
<th>Central, n=1</th>
<th>Total, n=1</th>
<th>Whipple, n=9</th>
<th>Puestow, n=8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of surgery, hours</td>
<td>4.1 [1.9–10.5]</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.3 [3.9–11.1]</td>
<td>3.8 [2.7–5.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss, mL</td>
<td>185 [1–1,500]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>259 [10–1,000]</td>
<td>88 [5–200]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay, days</td>
<td>9 [1–21]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14 [7–26]</td>
<td>5.4 [3–9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications, average per procedure</td>
<td>0.43 [0–3]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 [0–2]</td>
<td>1 [0–2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned hospital visits, average per procedure</td>
<td>0.57 [0–2]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 [0–7]</td>
<td>0.71 [0–2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of follow-up, months</td>
<td>16 [0–93]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.4 [0–79]</td>
<td>11.4 [0–49]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Complications of pancreas operations in children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ileus</th>
<th>Pancreas leak</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distal pancreatectomy, n=28</td>
<td>11 (39%)</td>
<td>2 (7%)</td>
<td>Wound dehiscence, wound infection, seizure, hemorrhage, pneumonia, renal vein thrombus, retroperitoneal fluid collections requiring interventional drainage [2], acute pancreatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central pancreatectomy, n=1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pancreatectomy, n=1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Hypovolemic shock, acute kidney injury, pulmonary edema, ventilator associated pneumonia, diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whipple, n=9</td>
<td>6 (67%)</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>Pulmonary edema, cryptosporidium infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puestow, n=8</td>
<td>3 (38%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There were no mortalities.

**Whipple**

Nine patients underwent a Whipple procedure. The most common indication was SPT and all were performed open. The average LOS was 14 days, with 6 patients admitted post-operatively to the ICU for an average of 2.5 days. The average length of surgery was 6.3 hours with 259 mL EBL (Table 2).

**Distal pancreatectomy**

Twenty-eight patients underwent a distal pancreatectomy. The most common indications were SPT and trauma. Eight patients had laparoscopy or robot-assisted laparoscopy and the remaining 19 had an open procedure. The LOS (11.16 vs. 5.25 days, \(P=0.22\)), EBL (240 vs. 59 mL, \(P=0.25\)), length of surgery (3.9 vs. 4.2 hours, \(P=0.82\)), and ICU admission (32% vs. 12.5%, Chi squared \(P=0.63\)) were not statistically different between the open and laparoscopic groups.

**Puestow**

All 8 patients undergoing a Puestow had chronic pancreatitis, and they were performed open with a Roux-en-Y pancreaticojejunostomy. Indications for this procedure included recurrent pancreatitis with dilated pancreas duct as noted on preoperative imaging. Average LOS (5 days), length of surgery (3.7 hours), EBL (86 mL) are listed in Table 2. No patients required an ICU admission. Three patients developed postoperative pancreatitis during the follow-up period.

**Discussion**

We present a comprehensive report of all pancreatic operations performed in children at a single institution. These data provide granular detail regarding pathologic indications for pediatric pancreas surgery, along with outcomes and complications associated with these operations. This information is important to inform management and counseling of pediatric pancreas surgery patients who will inevitably present to pediatric surgeons, many of whom may manage these patients less frequently than others. These procedures are rare in children, and do carry an associated complication rate.

**Patient cohort**

Similar age ranges and female predominance of pancreas operations are described in other retrospective reviews of pediatric pancreas operations at other institutions (1,8). With regards to resection of pancreatic masses/tumors, the most common pathology noted across all patients was SPT. Previous studies have identified the preponderance of this pathology across pediatric pancreas operations (3,9,10). On the other hand, we only operated on a total of two patients for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, while other case series describe this histopathology at a higher rate (3). With regards to malignant pancreas tumors, we present two patients with pancreatoblastoma, but no ductal adenocarcinoma or neuroblastoma in our experience.

**Operative procedures**

The most common operative procedure in our series was distal pancreatectomy [27], followed by Whipple [9] and Puestow [7]. The type and extent of the resection was dictated by the injury, tumor, or pattern of duct dilation for chronic pancreatitis, akin to other studies. We align with previous studies that surgical management of pancreatic malignancy remains the mainstay of treatment for best long-term outcomes (1,7,11,12). We did not perform any duodenal sparing operations during resections of the pancreas head, which has shown success at other centers (13). Similarly, surgical management of pancreatic trauma was guided by extent and location of duct injury, as well as timing relative to onset of injury (14-16). We did not include internal drainage for post-traumatic pseudocyst as it did not require resection of the pancreas. All patients with chronic pancreatitis underwent a Puestow procedure.

Generally, the majority of the operations were done open. Specifically, for the patients undergoing the Whipple procedure, we performed a pylorus sparing Whipple in 6 of the 9 patients (67%). Eight of 27 distal pancreatectomies were performed by laparoscopy or robot-assisted laparoscopy (30%). Previous studies have demonstrated that open and laparoscopic approaches are equivalent in the operative management of pediatric pancreas trauma when distal pancreatectomy is the indicated procedure (17). Given the retrospective nature of our study we anticipate that the lack of statistical difference between the open and laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy is owed to patient selection and surgeon preference.
Limitations and future directions

Our study is a single-institution retrospective review, and as such, limited by the inherent biases of the design. However, we were able to accrue 46 patients during our study period with a robust follow up of 20 months on average. Although our patient cohort is smaller in volume than a database study, our data is more granular. Additionally, we present the case variety that represent what many pediatric surgeons have in their own practice, with trauma, malignancy, and chronic pancreatitis. We believe our data will be generalizable to the practicing pediatric general surgeon. However, future studies should aim to pool data from multiple institutions in order to improve statistical power and reduce bias. Additionally, we did not implement postoperative enhanced recovery protocols during the study period. Doing so could allow standardization of postoperative course and improved outcomes and is an area for future quality improvement. Multi-institutional data will improve generalizability and overall understanding of pancreas surgery in children.

Conclusions

Our series describes a variety of pathology for which pediatric surgeons may be called upon to perform pancreatic surgeries, with demonstration of safety and feasibility across different anatomic resections. Although we were limited by the single institution nature of our experience, our data show clear indications for pancreatic surgery in this population. Knowledge of potential outcomes and complications may assist in patient and family counseling.
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