A multi-omic view of the liver

Liver physiology can be understood as a continuum involving a plethora of processes and regulatory mechanisms at different scales. In this scenario, genes are at the lowest scale and the organ as a whole at the highest scale. The intermediate scales are occupied by RNAs, proteins, metabolites, biological networks, cellular organelles and different cell types. The interplay between the organization scales occurs through multidirectional relations of causation (1,2), whereas, the environment influences processes at lower scales, including the activation of protein networks and gene expression (Figure 1). Ultimately, liver physiology is the result of the tight balance of this complex system characterized by the multiplicity of interactions. Thus, perturbations in any level of organization or in their interaction, usually lead to liver diseases.

The traditional way by which scientists investigate either physiology or diseases of the liver involves the simplification of this biological system and its byproducts to a single or small group of the constituents at each scale. Although the classical methods allow logical conclusions regarding the role of specific target(s) of interest in any given process, they are not considering the hepatic system as a whole and, therefore, have limited clinical outcomes.

More recently however, hepatology research has shifted from this reductionist view towards more holistic and integrated approaches. The development of new omics technologies (i.e., genomic, proteomic, metabolomic) has allowed scientists to collect more information regarding molecular events in biological systems by addressing large ensembles of components such as genes, proteins, and metabolites. Moreover, these technologies are in constant development and optimization, resulting in increased data.
output per run at lower cost, which make them far more accessible for researchers, clinicians and their patients (3-5). Finally, the introduction of new bioinformatics tools has increased our ability to integrate information obtained by different omics and empower the application of systems biology in research and clinical practice. Indeed, as will be discussed below, it is the combination of these different technologies that will contribute significantly to the understanding, diagnosis and treatment of liver diseases.

**Genomics**

A great advance in the hepatology field was the use of genome wide association studies (GWAS), also known as whole genome association studies, that focus on identifying genetic variants predisposing a patient to certain diseases. GWAS has been used in different study designs (family-based, population-based or case-control studies) to screen the frequency of more than 1 million known single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and have resulted in the discovery of risk loci associated with common and rare liver diseases such as gallstone disease, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), drug-induced liver injury (DILI), chronic hepatitis C after treatment, cystic fibrosis associated liver disease, Alagille syndrome, Biliary Atresia, autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and PSC primary sclerosing cholangitis (6-8). Up to date of this manuscript preparation, the NHGRI-EBI catalog of published GWAS studies (www.genome.gov/gwastudies/) reports, under the search term “liver disease”, a total of about 4,493 studies, 120,219 SNPs and 179,364 associations identified. GWAS were shown to have an accuracy ranging between 21% and 51% in the identification of disease-causing SNPs depending on the disease, study design and availability of premade panels of SNPs (9). An important limitation of GWAS studies is that, in many cases, the identified loci might contain several genes that equally contribute to but not necessarily cause the disease. Overall, GWAS studies have been instrumental as a starting point to identify biological pathways associated with multifactorial diseases to be further investigated, but in large part have hampered our expectation to apply this information into clinical practice. This particularly relates to GWAS studies focused on complex diseases. Examples in the liver context are PBC (115 associations and 8 studies) and PSC (308 associations and 17 studies), in which most of the identified disease variants appeared at a very low effect size, suggesting a marginal genetic contribution in respect to other risk factors (i.e., environmental) at play (10,11).

A possible outcome of GWAS has been the establishment of targeted gene panels associated with a certain liver disease. These panels can improve the coverage of the genes...
of interest and be cost and time effective, finding their application in diagnostic processes including next generation sequencing (NGS) (12). Targeted gene sequencing (TGS) allows early diagnosis and the identification of the specific genetic causes of a disease even within a group of disorders. This approach has been advantageous in pediatric hepatology to identify specific subtypes of cholestatic disorders. Pediatric cholestasis includes a group of disorders with different etiologies (genetic and metabolic) that result in the impairment of bile acid transport and lead to liver failure. Among the inherited conditions, for example, patients with progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis (PFIC) caused by different genes, present low serum concentrations of gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) and are clinically undistinguishable. However, each specific PFIC subtype is associated with different risk factors that determine their clinical management and post-transplant complications (12). In a recent study Nicastro et al., showed the use of a specific NGS panel for genetic liver diseases in pediatric cholestasis, as a time and cost effective diagnostic tool compared to traditional diagnostic, in a transplant center in Italy (13).

Another important genomic tool is whole exome sequencing (WES), an exploratory technique that consists in the sequencing of the protein coding regions of genes—known as exome. The exome consists of approximately 1% of the human genome but harbors 85% of the mutations with effects on disease traits (14). The cost of sequencing in WES is lower than in whole genome sequencing (WGS), making the former technology a promising tool for early diagnosis in clinic. A recent study in a small number of patients showed the applicability and cost-effectiveness of WES in clinical practice to identify disease-related variants in adults with unexplained liver disease (15). Hakim et al. applied WES for the diagnosis and the identification of molecular causes in 19 adult patients with chronic liver disease of unknown etiology. The genomic analysis brought to the identification of the disease diagnosis and predict the correct treatment in 5 of these cases (15).

Although the use of genomic techniques, such as TGS, WES and WGS awaits further validation for larger population studies, it certainly shows promise as a useful tool for early and precise diagnosis and better clinical management of genetic liver diseases. This highlights the importance of genome sequencing in research and clinical practice and how NGS technologies can revolutionize medicine. On the other hand, studies that carefully analyze the real cost effectiveness of NGS technologies are necessary before they can be fully integrated into clinical practice (16). Moreover, the applicability of these technologies remains limited in the diagnosis of pathologies in which the genetic factor is less prominent and even in the determination of which identified variant(s) contribute(s) more to the trait or disease of interest (6). To overcome these limitations, GWAS can be associated with transcriptomics to inform on risk variants, gene expression profiling and altered pathways associated with the disease (17-19).

The transcriptome can be defined as the complete set, and quantity, of transcripts within a cell, tissue or organ in physiological or pathological conditions (20) and transcriptomics are the set of technologies used to profile the transcriptome. Since its development, RNA sequencing (RNAseq) technology has become the most widely used technology to investigate the transcriptome of a target sample. In general terms, a cDNA library is assembled from the extracted RNA and then sequenced starting from one end (single ended sequencing) or both ends (paired ended sequencing). The result is a high-throughput dataset of sequences (reads), whose number and length depend on the sequencing strategy used. Then, reads are processed to remove poor quality regions, sequencing adapters or any other technical sequences to optimize downstream analysis (21). Following this step, the trimmed reads are aligned against a reference (indexed) genome, which allows the accurate counting of expressed genes (22). Importantly, there are many bioinformatics tools freely available to the scientific community that can be used in each of these steps; as well as up-to-date and curated sequence and annotation for the genomes of human and common experimental animal models such as mouse (Mus musculus) and rat (Rattus norvegicus). Finally, the investigator will perform a series of statistical analysis to detect differentially expressed genes among groups and the overrepresented terms or signaling pathways associated with those genes. Ultimately, the result is a picture of the expression landscape between 2 or more conditions that can provide important information on the role of individual genes or gene clusters to the phenotype of interest (20-22).

An advance in the analysis of transcriptome is the single cell RNAseq (scRNAseq). As the name implies, scRNAseq increases the resolution of information, as now it is possible to perform differential expression analysis in individual cells isolated from an organ such as the liver; as well as clustering, classification and dynamics of different
cell types in different conditions or timepoints (23, 24). By using this approach, researchers are now providing detailed maps of the cellular transcriptional landscape and heterogeneity in the liver during development and in adulthood under physiological conditions (25, 26) or during different liver diseases, as exemplified by hepatocellular carcinoma, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and fibrosis (27-29). These approaches will surely contribute to the understanding of liver physiology and pathologies, in particular for rare diseases, where the limited number of patients prevents the use of other genomic tools. In line with this, transcriptomics can be used to unravel the mechanisms of current treatments (30), or as a tool for drug repositioning (31) or identification of new therapeutic targets (32, 33).

**Proteomics**

Ideally the data of gene variants or altered gene expression obtained from genomics or transcriptomics analysis should be correlated to differences in protein abundance. Indeed, a recent example of this correlation has been demonstrated for the mannose phosphate isomerase (MPI) gene in a pediatric population. Transcriptomic data from three human liver cohorts showed that in children with mutations in the MPI gene, a rare monogenic disorder, the MPI expression level is proportional to liver fibrosis (30).

Although gene-protein correlations are useful in many instances, they are by no means linear. Posttranscriptional and posttranslational mechanisms alter this correlation by influencing the abundance, function, and networks of proteins. It is known that these mechanisms contribute to the greater complexity and larger diversity of proteins when compared to genes (34). Therefore, a more comprehensive approach to studying the whole set of proteins within a biological system, or proteome, is through its large-scale analysis, or proteomics. Currently, mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics is the most commonly used technology to address the proteome (35). This technique comprises the isolation of proteins from the sample, its downstream processing and the analysis in a high-resolution mass spectrometer. The result is a mass-charge (m/z) spectrum that is used in protein identification and quantification. There are different strategies for proteomics (bottom-up and top-down proteomics), which result in distinct outcomes as global protein abundance and/or posttranslational modifications, including phosphorylation, glycosylation, and others. In this exploratory approach, in which the whole ensemble of proteins is the background, the investigator may submit the results to downstream analysis to detect differentially abundant proteins, interaction networks, up or downstream signaling pathways, enriched terms or pathways and visualization of the proteins in the context of overrepresented biologically-significant terms. Thus, the analyses will depend on the biological question to be addressed.

The application of proteomics in hepatology thus far has been limited to physiological studies. For example, a detailed characterization of the proteome and protein networks from different hepatic cell types was performed and revealed the complementarity and the crosstalk of these networks among different cell types (36), which is a noteworthy feature of liver organization. Using a similar approach, another study established that a large proportion of the hepatocyte proteome is involved in fatty acid and xenobiotic metabolism. It also revealed that different populations of hepatocytes play distinct roles in cholesterol flux and hormone/growth factors signaling (37).

In the context of liver diseases, MS-based proteomics is an important tool for the identification of putative pathogenic proteins. Analyses of serum from patients with autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) identified antigenic proteins associated with the disease, including phosphoglycerate mutase isozyme B, liver arginase, cytokeratins 8 and 18, heat shock proteins and valosin-containing protein (38). Furthermore, proteomics revealed overrepresented targets related to Th1 and Th2 type responses through analysis of the immune response signatures in this disease (39). These key findings identified new candidate antigens, molecular pathways, biomarkers and even diagnostic models with high sensitivity and specificity for liver autoimmune diseases (40-42).

Proteomics can also be useful to identify biomarkers for the diagnosis and the progression of a specific diseases. For example, saliva proteome analysis using an integrated top-down/bottom-up platform was used in a population of patients with Wilson’s disease, a rare inherited disorder of copper metabolism that include liver symptoms (43). This analysis showed that the proteins present in the saliva of these patients are representative of the oxidative stress and inflammatory condition typical of the disease and could potentially be a marker of exacerbation of the disease (43).

In another interesting study, Metzger et al. used urine proteomics to differentiate cholangiocarcinoma (CC) from PSC and other benign biliary diseases (BBD) (44). Knowing from a previous study that bile proteomic can
distinguish CC from PSC, the urine was compared with that of the bile of the same patients collected in the same day. The study identified urine proteins that are able to distinguish CC from PSC and BBD suggesting that urine proteomic analysis (compared to bile) can be used as a non-invasive diagnostic tool for PSC patients at risk of developing CC (44).

A related technique known as targeted proteomics involves the investigation of a specific set of proteins of interest known to be associated with certain phenotypes or diseases. In this approach, the background is composed of sets of hundreds of proteins rather than the thousands that comprise the whole proteome. Because of its lower complexity (vs. “non-targeted” proteomics), as well as better scalability, sensitivity, precision, accuracy and reproducibility (45), targeted-proteomics can be useful not only in research, but also in precision and personalized medicine (46). It can be used, for example, in the diagnosis, as well as in the clinical management of complex or rare liver diseases.

**Metabolomics**

Although powerful, transcriptomics and proteomics are only a surrogate picture of the physiological outcomes of a certain cluster of differentially expressed targets or overrepresented pathways. A complete and more robust physiological snapshot can be achieved by the application of metabolomics: the high-throughput identification and analysis of the set of small molecule metabolites (<1,500 Da), known as the metabolome. As a result of metabolomics studies, the metabolic signature has been associated with not only common liver diseases such as NAFLD and liver toxicity (47-51) but also with liver regeneration (52) and in the identification of novel biomarkers (53). As proteomics, metabolomics has also contributed to the identification of novel biomarkers in autoimmune liver diseases and improvements in diagnosis. The main results of these studies showed that metabolites such as glycochenodeoxycholic acid and the free-fatty acids (FFA): LPC-16:0, PC-16:0/16:0 and SM could be used to diagnose autoimmune liver diseases (i.e., AIH and PBC) and PBC, whereas the bile salts LCA-S, TDCA, and GDCA could be used in the differential diagnose of PBC and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), a rare chronic inflammatory cholangiopathy of unknown etiology (54,55). Another study identified citrate, glutamine, acetone, pyruvate, β-hydroxyisobutyrate, acetoacetate, histidine, dimethylamine, and creatinine as metabolites with a high diagnostic accuracy for the discrimination of AIH and PBC (56). Although promising, the results are still preliminary because of the limited number of studies and differences in methodology (57).

Because of the recent advances in the technologies used for non-targeted or targeted identification of metabolites, alongside the development of novel computational analysis protocols, the metabolic phenotyping is now a promising tool in the understanding of liver diseases, in their diagnosis and clinical management, including disease staging and individual monitoring of patient response to available treatments.

**Microbiome**

Thus far, we have discussed the application of high-throughput-omics approaches in the study of molecular events at different scales of organization focusing in the liver, from genes (lowest scale) to RNA, proteins, and metabolites (intermediate scales) towards a phenotype (Figure 1). Another scaling-up level is to consider exchangeable metabolites and factors produced outside of the liver that can impact its functioning. In line with this interpretation, an important source of exchangeable metabolites to the liver is the large population of microorganisms, the microbiota, that reside in the gastrointestinal tract. This community has its own genome, proteome and metabolites (collectively known as microbiome) that can be greater in extent and diversity than the host’s (58,59). Products from the microbiota can be absorbed into the bloodstream and reach the hepatic tissue through the portal circulation or simply stimulate neuroendocrine signaling pathways to the liver. Therefore, the microbiome may have direct or indirect impact on liver physiology. A correlate term with -omics is the meta-omics (metagenomics, metaproteomics and so on), which refers to the large-scale studies of the microbiome (2). Microbiota and microbiome studies identified a high producing alcohol strain of Klebsiella pneumonia in 60% of patients with NAFLD in a Chinese cohort. Moreover, this strain was able to induce disease in mice (60). Similarly, alterations in dietary fat content resulted in dysbiosis, with consequent alterations in nutrient-microbiota interaction, a scenario in which the production of a specific short-chain fatty acid was associated with liver steatosis and metabolic syndrome (61). Intestinal dysbiosis characterized by a reduced bacterial diversity was also described in patients with PSC (62-64). Recent studies have shown that the
dysbiosis is not limited to the fecal and colonic mucosa, but it is also extended to the bile of these patients, showing an increased presence of the phylum *Proteobacteria* (65). Interestingly, microbiota has been suggested to act as the driver of the increased Th17 differentiation often seen in PSC patients, suggesting that the gut microbiome could be the trigger of adaptive immune reactions (immunobiome) (66).

**Panomics**

In face of the great amount of data that we now can collect from an organism and the availability of public repositories for such data, a striking challenge is the integration of all this information. The Human Cell Atlas (https://www.humancellatlas.org/), human microbiome project (https://hmpdacc.org/hmp/) and human metabolome database (http://www.hmdb.ca/) are initiatives that exemplify the effort of the scientific community in integrating multi-omics data and improving accessibility to the cumulative knowledge (67,68). The ultimate goal is, with help from machine learning and other computational tools, to integrate high-throughput datasets with other relevant clinical information, including anamnesis and routine biochemical laboratory tests into an algorithm that can accurately predict disease progress, patient response to treatments (69), and prognosis (70).

**Disease modeling**

The integration of multi-omics data allows the identification of specific molecular targets associated with a disease. However, these targets should ideally be functionally validated using reliable models of the cell or tissue affected by the disease, to specifically address the disease mechanism and potential therapies.  

Our current knowledge of altered mechanisms in liver diseases mainly derives from studies using *in vivo* animal models, *in vitro* primary cell culture systems and cancer-derived or immortalized cell lines. However, these approaches have several limitations. Studies in rodents suffer very often from a lack of phenotype reproducibility due to inter-species differences (71), whereas the use of *in vitro* human primary cultures is hampered by the scarce availability of human liver tissues, and in particular for rare liver diseases. An additional limitation is the technical difficulties in handling primary cells cultures. Conversely, immortalized cell lines do not always recapitulate normal physiological functions of the tissue that they are isolated from (72,73). These collective shortcomings of traditional tools to model liver diseases in culture are being addressed by recent advances in stem cell-based *in vitro* models (i.e., iPSC and 3D organoid cultures) (Figure 2) (74).

**Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and applications**

The discovery of iPSCs dates back to 2006 when Takahashi and Yamanaka were able to induce a state of pluripotency in skin fibroblasts by exogenous expression of four pluripotency transcription factors (i.e., Klf4, Oct4, Sox2 and c-Myc) (75). The resulting iPSCs were characterized by indefinite self-renewal and by the ability to differentiate into a variety of cell types. More recently, iPSCs have been obtained from other sources such as peripheral blood cells and urine, further decreasing the invasiveness of the procedure (76,77).

In the context of modern medicine, iPSCs find many applications: they can be derived from a patient and used to study the mechanism of a known disease to find specific targets for therapy; or to identify the function of genes associated with a novel disease; and finally to screen compounds in a large scale and to derive specific treatments. This approach would particularly benefit those rare diseases for which clinical trials could not be designed due to a very limited number of patients (78).

In liver research specifically, iPSCs have been mainly used for the generation of hepatocytes and cholangiocytes. Most of the protocols for the differentiation of iPSC into liver cells recapitulate the different steps of liver embryogenesis, characterized by the sequential progression of the pluripotent stem cells into a stage of definitive endoderm, hepatic endoderm and ultimately the hepatoblast, the common bipotent progenitor for hepatocytes and cholangiocytes. This process is mediated by the addition in the culture media of specific factors aimed at activating the different molecular pathways that take place during liver development (79).

Hepatocytes have been the first liver cells to be generated from iPSC (80-82). However, despite many efforts, as of today, iPSC-derived hepatocyte-like cells lack full maturation and present a more fetal phenotype (83) in comparison to primary hepatocytes. Despite this limitation, many researchers have been able to use iPSC-derived hepatocytes to reproduce and model *in vitro* liver diseases (*Table 1*) such as A1AT deficiency (84-87), familial...
Figure 2 Generation of iPSC and organoids and their application for the study of liver diseases. iPSCs are generated from the reprogramming of adult somatic cells (i.e., peripheral mononuclear cells and skin fibroblasts) of a patient or a healthy donor in pluripotent stem cells. iPSC can be differentiated in liver parenchymal cells (i.e., hepatocytes and cholangiocytes) by recapitulating in culture the steps of liver development. Liver organoids are established from stem cells derived from bile fluid or from liver biopsy or liver explant tissues, embedded in Matrigel and be supplied with specific factors. Liver organoids can also be generated by iPSC-derived liver cells cultured in similar conditions. Multicellular liver organoids were recently generated directly by differentiation of iPSC and from iPSC derived liver organoids (see text for details). These technologies have shown to be useful to study and model liver development and disease mechanisms, to discover new gene functions, to test existing and new drugs and to potentially be applied to regenerative medicine.

hypercholesterolemia (FH) (97), Glycogen Storage Disease Type 1 (88), Wilson’s disease (89) and mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome type 3 (90), suggesting that the less mature phenotype is not affecting the disease mechanism itself.

More recent studies demonstrated that iPSC can also be made to differentiate into biliary cell (91–93,98,99). Compared to hepatocytes, the biliary lineage appears to constitute a more default pathway of differentiation as iPSC-derived biliary cells more closely resemble adult cholangiocytes with preserved secretory functions. iPSC-derived cholangiocytes have been used to model rare diseases of the biliary epithelium or cholangiopathies such as cystic fibrosis related liver disease (CFLD), Alagille syndrome and autosomal dominant polycystic liver disease (91–93) (Table 1).

iPSCs provide a virtually unlimited source of disease cells and therefore they have been used for drug discovery or repurposing to target specific pathways or, on a larger scale, for the discovery of new compounds in a platform of high throughput screening. Using iPSC-derived hepatocytes, 5 new drugs from a library of clinical compounds have been identified for A1AT deficiency (87). In a similar way, cardiac glycosides, currently approved for heart failure, were shown to be a potential candidate to treat hypercholesterolemia, at least in those patients that develop resistance to statins (100).
Another study, using iPSC-derived cholangiocytes from a CF patient carrying the common CFTR mutation DF508, identified a pathogenic mechanism that links a constitutive activation of Src kinase in CFTR defective cholangiocytes, with an aberrant activation of TLR-mediated innate immunity pathways. Interestingly, the study also showed that inhibition of the kinase could increase the efficacy of currently approved potentiator/correctors used in CF patients with this mutation (91).

iPSC can also be genetically modified using CRISPR-Cas9 technology. Using this approach, it is possible to introduce a specific disease-causing mutation in control iPSC or to correct specific mutations in patient-derived iPSC, thus providing isogenic matching controls to characterize the disease phenotype related to a specific mutation (101). This tool is especially important for rare diseases that affect only a few individuals or a monogenic disease with several rare variants (i.e., CF) since it will accelerate their molecular characterization and will create valid platforms for cost effective preclinical drug testing.

### Liver organoids and applications

Another important advance of stem cell technology has been the establishment of 3D organotypic culture systems that resemble the architecture and the function of a

### Table 1 Summary of selected studies in rare liver diseases using iPSC and organoids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liver disease</th>
<th>Cell model</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A1AT                                 | iPSC                                 | • Patient-derived iPSCs differentiated to hepatocyte reproduce key features of the disease  
• Gene correction of AAT mutant prevented abnormal accumulation of misfolded ATT protein in iPSC-derived hepatocytes  
• iPSC-derived hepatocytes model variation of liver diseases associated with AAT mutations  
• Identification of drugs that reduce AAT accumulation | (84-87)    |
| Glycogen storage disease type 1      | iPSC                                 | • Patient-derived iPSs differentiated to hepatocyte reproduce the cellular phenotype | (88)       |
| Wilson's disease                     | iPSC                                 | • Patient-derived iPSCs differentiated to hepatocyte recapitulate the copper-export defect that was reversed by gene correction. | (89)       |
| Mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome | iPSC                                 | • Generation of iPSC carrying the disease mutation using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing and identification of drugs that increase ATP. | (90)       |
| Cystic fibrosis liver disease (CFLD) | iPSC/iPSC-derived organoids          | • Patient-derived iPSCs differentiated to cholangiocytes reproduce the secretory defect that is improved by mutation correctors/channel potentiator  
• Identification of a pathogenic mechanism linking Src-TLR4 innate immunity-inflammation in patient derived iPSCs differentiated to cholangiocytes | (91-93)    |
| Alagille syndrome                    | iPSC/iPSC-derived organoids          | • Chemical inhibition of Notch signaling prevent the differentiation of iPSC in cholangiocytes | (92,93)    |
| Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney | iPSC/iPSC-derived organoids          | • Patient-derived iPSCs differentiated to cholangiocytes reproduce key features of the disease. Validation of octreotide for reduction of cyst volume | (93)       |
| Biliary Atresia                      | 3D cholangiocyte spheroids           | • Cholangiocyte spheroids show signs of toxic injury after treatment with the flavonoid bilatresone | (94)       |
| PSC                                  | Bile organoids                       | • Bile organoids isolated from PSC patients show an immune-reactive phenotype | (95)       |
| Wolman disease                       | Multicellular organoids              | • Organoids derived from patients with Wolman disease show features of steatohepatitis that are reduced by treatment with FXR agonists | (96)       |
specific tissue. Compared to traditional 2D cultures (i.e., monolayers), organoids are more physiologically relevant. Organoids have the ability to self-organize in structures that resembles the organ of origin and therefore they reproduce the in vivo cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. This allows them to better preserve key functional features than in traditional 2D culture. It is well known that primary cells drastically decrease their proliferative capability when in 2D culture while they rapidly de-differentiate, therefore precluding their propagation for multiple passages. Conversely, organoids have shown to be self-renewing and therefore can be propagated for long-term in culture without loss of functionality while maintaining their genetic stability for several passages (74,102,103).

Liver organoids can be derived from different sources of stem cells, including iPSC (104), adult or embryonic tissue-resident stem cells and more recently (105), from bile fluid collected during ERCP (endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography) procedures (95). In order to self-organize in 3D structures, stem cells from any origin need to be embedded in an extracellular matrix (i.e., Matrigel) and be supplied with specific media that contain factors able to recapitulate the in vivo environment of the stem cell niche both in normal conditions (i.e., self-renewal) or during repair/regeneration (103).

To date, liver organoids derived from tissue resident stem cells or from bile samples are more committed to a default biliary phenotype, but more recently mature hepatocyte organoids have been successfully derived from adult primary hepatocytes (106).

Liver organoids, in particular those obtained from patient-derived iPSC, have been used to model specific functional defects representative of monogenic rare liver diseases such as Alagille syndrome, polycystic liver disease, cystic fibrosis, Wilson disease and AAT1 (92,93,105,107) (Table 1) in an attempt to generate specific platforms for drug screening.

More recently, liver organoids have been applied to the study of complex or acquired liver diseases. Using mouse 3D cholangiocyte spheroids exposed to the flavonoid toxin biliatresone, Lorent et al. modeled Biliary Atresia. The treatment with the toxin caused loss of the primary cilia and disruption of the biliary cell polarity, providing evidence of a toxic environmental injury as a potential initiator of the disease (94). In another study, bile organoids have been derived from PSC patients that undergo ERCP as part of their diagnostic and clinical management (95). Further characterization of these organoids showed that they retain key characteristics associated with the disease, such as an immune-reactive phenotype and the ability to secrete inflammatory mediators in response to inflammatory stimuli (95). Finally, liver organoids have also been used to mimic virus-host interaction and study the process of HCV entry into polarized hepatocytes and to create an in vitro HBV infection model (108,109).

It is tempting to speculate that liver organoids will have a major impact on personalized medicine because they can be cryopreserved and bio-banked for later use in drug screening and possibly for regenerative medicine purposes, such as autologous cell therapy transplantation (102,103). Indeed, Schneeberger et al. described in a recent study, a new method based on spinner flasks for the expansion of a large number of liver organoids (110). Using this system, the organoids were able to proliferate at a high rate and to repopulate and reconstitute the liver tissue in decellularized liver discs. The possibility to scale-up the production of liver organoids, together with the application of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing for the correction of specific mutations will pave the way for the use of regenerative medicine in the treatment of rare diseases.

As promising as stem cell technologies are, some limitations still remain. One is the homogeneous cell composition of liver organoids that do not completely recapitulate the multiple cell types of the liver. To that end, Takebe’s group has made important steps toward the realization of more complex systems. In an initial study, they showed the association of iPSC-derived hepatoblasts with human umbilical endothelial cells (HUVECs) and human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal cells that generated foetal liver buds, which are able to engraft and vascularize in NOD/SCID mice (111). In a follow-up study, they showed the capability of liver bud formation by combining endodermal, endothelial and mesenchymal cells entirely derived from iPSC (112). In a more recent study, they were able to generate multi-cellular human liver organoids (HLOs) starting from foregut spheroids in a single protocol. The generated organoids contained epithelial cells (i.e., expressing hepatocyte and cholangiocyte markers) but also cells of mesenchymal origin (i.e., expressing stellate and Kupffer cell markers). Interestingly, in organoids derived from iPSC of patients with Wolman disease, a rare genetic condition characterized by a defective activity of lysosomal acid lipase, they found features of steatohepatitis that could be rescued by treatment with FXR agonists (96). A limitation of the study is that the functional activity of
each cell type was not fully characterized. Finally, the same group modeled a multi-organ (hepato-biliary-pancreatic) integrated system starting from iPSC spheroids, for the study of human organogenesis (113). Overall, these models are ways from having a clinical application and many challenges need to be overcome. However, they demonstrate how stem cell technology is fast evolving and how it might contribute to translational medicine.

Another limitation not yet completely solved is the need of ECMs, whose composition is not well defined, to initiate the 3D aggregation. In that regard, bioengineers are making a remarkable effort to develop new hydrogels with defined composition that support organoids formation and growth (114).

Concluding remarks

With the rapid evolution of omics technologies, the acquisition of new tools for data analysis and integration and the continued reduction of costs for such a large-scale approach, we will witness their introduction in the clinical practice in the near future. When combined, multi-omics analysis will provide a useful snapshot of the disease mechanism and eventually be applied for the prediction of disease risk, for early diagnosis and the development of potential therapeutic targets. In this context, stem cell-derived human models (i.e., iPSC and organoids) will help to tailor these approaches into biomedical applications for personalized medicine.
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