Confocal laser endomicroscopy in gastro-intestinal endoscopy: technical aspects and clinical applications
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Abstract: Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) is an advanced endoscopic imaging technology that provides a magnified, cellular level view of gastrointestinal epithelia. In conjunction with topical or intravenous fluorescent dyes, CLE allows for an “optical biopsy” for real-time diagnosis. Two different CLE system have been used in clinical endoscopy, probe-based CLE (pCLE) and endoscope-based CLE (eCLE). Using pCLE, the device can be delivered: (I) into the luminal gastrointestinal tract through the working channel of standard endoscopes; (II) into extraluminal cystic and solid parenchymal lesions through an endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) needle; or (III) into the biliary system through an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) accessory channel. With eCLE, the probe is directly integrated into the tip of a conventional endoscope, however, these endoscopes are no longer commercially available. CLE has moderate to high diagnostic accuracy for neoplastic and inflammatory conditions through the gastrointestinal tract including: oesophageal, gastric and colonic neoplasia, pancreatic cysts and solid lesions, malignant pancreatobiliary strictures and inflammatory bowel disease. Some studies have demonstrated the diagnostic benefit of CLE imaging when combined with either conventional white light endoscopy or advanced imaging technologies. Therefore, optical biopsies using CLE can resolve diagnostic dilemmas in some cases where conventional imaging fails to achieve conclusive results. CLE could also reduce the requirement for extensive tissue sampling during surveillance procedures. In the future, CLE in combination with molecular probes, could allow for the molecular characterization of diseases and assess response to targeted therapy. However, the narrow field of view, high capital costs and specialized operator training requirements remain the main limitations. Future multi-center, randomized trials with a focus on conventional diagnostic applications, cost-effectiveness and standardized training will be required for definitive evidence. The objective of this review is to evaluate the technical aspects and current applications of CLE in patients with gastrointestinal and pancreatobiliary diseases and discuss future directions for this technique.
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Technical aspects

Introduction

Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) and endocytoscopy are the two endoscopic technologies that provide diagnostic information at cellular level in real-time. Endocytoscopy will be discussed in a separate chapter. CLE develops on the background of confocal microscopy, which was introduced in 1957 by Marvin Minsky, to address the practical issue of imaging tissue at different depths below the surface. As such, CLE allows the endoscopist to image gastro-intestinal (GI) epithelia underneath what is conventionally seen with standard and image enhanced endoscopy. The term confocal refers to the property of align illumination and collection system in the same specimen plane. To this end, a point light source is generated by converging the beam from a low power laser (488 nm blue laser light) on a single point. This is achieved using a source pinhole, the reflection of the light by a beam splitter and an objective lens to focus the light (Figure 1). The light hitting the specimen will converge onto a fixed plane and generate fluorescence. The fluorescence emitted from the specimen will be collected by the objective lens and delivered to the detector. However, a detector pinhole will reject signal coming from the out-of-focus areas; in this way, only the light from the focal point can be collected (Figure 1). Two different CLE system have been used in clinical endoscopy, the probe-based CLE (pCLE) and the endoscope-based CLE (eCLE), of which the latter is no longer commercially available. To allow tissue excitation CLE systems require the use of fluorescence dyes, which can be topical or intravenous (IV). Topic dyes include cresyl violet and acriflavine. Cresyl violet enhances the cytoplasm but has limited tissue penetration and does not provide an information about vasculature; cresyl violet has very limited evidence in GI endoscopy (1). Acriflavin stains the nuclei of the surface epithelial cells, but has limited penetration into the deeper layer (2). The concentration used varies between 0.02 and 0.05%, however some concerns about mutagenic and cytotoxic properties have limited the use in clinical practice (3,4). Fluorescein is the most common intravenous dye used in CLE and it is FDA-cleared. Fluorescein is safe and routinely used in ophthalmology for diagnostic angiography of the retina. In CLE, 2.5 mL of 10% solution is typically administered immediately before endomicroscopic examination and the fluorescence is optimally captured from few seconds to 8 minutes after, but as long as 60 minutes after injection. In a survey of 16 centres performing fluorescein-aided CLE mild adverse events occurred in 1.4% of cases and included nausea, vomiting, mild epigastric pain, transient hypotension (without shock), rash at the site of injection and diffuse erythema (5).

pCLE

The pCLE (Mauna Kea Technologies; Paris, France) is a fibre bundle that transits into the GI tract through the working channel of a standard endoscope (Figure 2). A variation of this is the needle-based CLE (nCLE), which can be delivered by through an endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) needle in cystic (e.g., pancreas) or solid extraluminal lesions. In pCLE, the individual fibres act as pinhole and the optical lens is integrated in the tip of the probe. pCLE has a fixed plane of imaging. The pCLE is available as 4 different GI probes, GastroFlex, ConolFlex, CholangioFlex and AQ-Flex 19, which scan with a frame rate ranging between 9 and 12 images per second. The GastroFlex and ColoFlex are compatible with standard endoscope with 2.8 mm working channel, have a depth of focus between 55 and 65 μm, a field of view of 240 μm and a lateral resolution of 1 μm. The CholangioFlex is passed through an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatigraphy (ERCP) accessory channel of at least 1 mm, has a depth of focus between 40 and 70 μm, a field of view of 325 μm and a lateral resolution of 3.5 μm. The AQ-Flex 19 nCLE has the same depth of focus, field of view and lateral resolution of the CholangioFlex, but is advanced through a 19G EUS fine-needle aspiration (FNA) needle. These pCLEs are reusable (maximum number of examination of 20 GastroFlex and ColoFlex and 10 for CholangioFlex and AQ-Flex 19) and can be cleaned by most of commercially available endoscopy reprocessing machines. The capital investment to acquire the system consists of an endoscopy stack (Figure 2), which typically include the light source, the image processor, a keyboard, a monitor, a pedal switch to activate the light and control the image/video recording and a track ball to back/forward view live images.

eCLE

In eCLE confocal microscope is fitted at the distal end of an endoscope (Pentax, Tokyo) (Figure 2). Having a single machine for conventional endoscopy of upper and lower GI tract and CLE of targeted lesions is an advantage. However, the endoscope has the downside of large diameter (12.8 mm) and a 5 cm rigid distal end, which particularly in upper GI, can make intubation less straightforward. The
eCLE produces videos with a significantly lower frame rate (1.6/s), but allows to adjust the depth of scanning between 0 and 250 µm. It also has a better resolution than pCLE (0.7 µm lateral and 7 µm axial). Unfortunately, this system is no longer commercially available.

**Considerations on training**

The available evidence suggests that interpretation of CLE patterns is easy and subject to a steep learning curve by assessors with no previous experience in the technology and across different GI diseases. Although online material is available for training in pCLE (www.cellvizio.net), one randomised study comparing in-class versus self-directed training showed that a formal teaching session by experienced trainer was more effective than self-directed training (6). The majority of the studies indicate that after a formal teaching session endoscopists maintain stable levels of diagnostic accuracy when assessing blocks of cases of variable size to an overall sample size variable between 76 and 90 (7-9). One study indicates that the diagnostic accuracy for colorectal neoplastic lesions by 11 non-experienced endoscopists after formal training session improved from 63% in the first block of 20 videos to 86% in the fourth block of clips (10). Overall, it is recommended that after a formal training, self-directed skills consolidation

---

**Figure 1** Principles of confocal laser endomicroscopy. The light passing through a pinhole is reflected by the beam splitter and then focused by the objective lens. The tissue is illuminated and the fluorescence emitted is collected by the objective. A pin hole placed before the detector allows to reject the light signal from out-of-focus tissue layers. Magnification: 10×.
through assessment of 50–80 cases be undertaken to achieve diagnostic proficiency. However, ability to interpret CLE images does not translate in ability to generate good quality images and to make diagnosis during a live procedure. There is limited evidence on the learning curve to perform CLE and make diagnosis in real-time.

**Clinical applications**

**Oesophagus**

Several studies have provided evidence on the clinical utility of CLE for diagnosis of oesophageal premalignant lesions and neoplasms. The majority of these studies have focused on oesophageal adenocarcinoma (OAC) and Barrett’s oesophagus (BE) with low-grade dysplasia (LGD) and high-grade dysplasia (HGD). The current recommendation for BE surveillance is to take targeted biopsies and random biopsies according to the Seattle protocol (11,12). Since dysplastic changes are patchy and can be completely invisible the diagnostic accuracy of the Seattle protocol is limited by sampling error and high costs related to multiple biopsies. In this context CLE offers the potential advantage help direct biopsies and possibly increase the diagnostic accuracy, as well as reduce the number of random biopsies.

The non-dysplastic BE epithelium is easily recognizable in confocal imaging (Figure 3). CLE displays the mucin contained in goblet cells as characteristic dark round-appearing structures within the columnar cells, which are easily recognizable by the bright contours (13). The glands have linear contours and the interglandular space is regular in size. The pCLE criteria for the diagnosis of HGD and intramucosal adenocarcinoma have been defined in a study by Gaddam et al. (9). Six criteria with the highest accuracy (81.5%) in predicting BE neoplasia were selected, including (I) “saw-toothed” epithelial surface; (II) poorly identifiable goblet cells; (III) non-equidistant glands; (IV) glands unequal in size and shape; (V) enlarged cells; (VI) non-equidistant and irregular cells (Figure 4). Two positive criteria provided the best accuracy for a diagnosis of BE-related neoplasia. A substantial interobserver agreement was achieved [κ=0.61 (0.53–0.69)]. By including only high-quality videos, accuracy and agreement rose to 95% and κ=0.89, respectively.

---

**Figure 2** CLE technologies. (A) Probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy system (pCLE) (Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France). (B) pCLE probe can be delivered in vivo through the standard 2.8 mm endoscope channel. (C) Endoscope-based confocal laser endomicroscopy (eCLE). The arrows point to the different components of the eCLE system. Adapted from De Palma GD, *World J Gastroenterol*, 2009. CLE, confocal laser endomicroscopy.
Recently, pCLE criteria for LGD have been developed (14). The CLE features of LGD are similar to those of more advanced dysplasia however reflect the more subtle histological abnormalities. pCLE criteria for LGD include (I) dark non-round glands; (II) irregular gland shape; (III) lack of goblet cells; (IV) variable degree of darkness with sharp cut-off; (V) variable cell size; and (VI) cellular stratification (Figure 4). A cut-off of 3 out of 6 positive criteria yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 81.6% and 67.6%, respectively, with an area under the ROC (AROC) of 0.860 for LGD diagnosis. The overall agreement among six endoscopists was good, with a \( \kappa \) value of 0.631, with no difference in the diagnostic accuracy between experienced and non-experienced endoscopists. Two randomised studies have been performed investigating CLE for Barrett’s early neoplasia diagnosis (HGD/intramucosal cancer). One study randomised 101 patients to either WLE or NBI first followed by pCLE on targeted and random locations. pCLE was therefore not part of the randomisation process. In this study the combination of pCLE and WLE achieved 93% sensitivity and 67% specificity, with 41 additional neoplastic locations found by pCLE compared to WLE alone (15). In another study, 192 patients were randomised to receive either WLE with Seattle protocol or WLE with eCLE and targeted biopsies only. In this study, eCLE increased the sensitivity for neoplasia detection from 40% to 96% (P<0.001), with 92% specificity (16).

The overall accuracy of CLE in diagnosing BE-related neoplasia was recently reviewed in a meta-analysis including eight studies (both e-CLE and p-CLE devices) from 709 patients (17). The pooled sensitivity and specificity for BE-related neoplasia diagnosis was 89% [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.80–0.95] and 75% (95% CI, 0.69–0.81), respectively, with an AUC of 0.947. In a per-location analysis, the pooled sensitivity dropped to 70% (95% CI, 0.65–0.74), and the specificity was 91% (95% CI, 0.90–0.92) with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.951. When compared, the diagnostic performance of eCLE and pCLE did not differ significantly. Of note, the sampling error is a serious limitation influencing the sensitivity of CLE-related studies in a per-location analysis as the biopsy sites may not always correspond to the area assessed by CLE. One solution to the narrow field of view when evaluating inconspicuous mucosa is the combination of CLE with endoscopic flagging techniques. For example, autofluorescence imaging (AFI) has been used in BE to guide pCLE to areas of potential dysplasia (18). In a single-centre study on 55 patients with BE, the accuracy of AFI-targeted pCLE for dysplasia was good with overall sensitivity and specificity of 96.4% and 74.1%. The time required to perform AFI-targeted pCLE was significantly shorter than that taken by the Seattle protocol (P<0.001) (18).

The role of CLE in the diagnosis of early oesophageal squamous cell cancer (OSCC) has been investigated in a limited number of studies. The CLE features of normal squamous epithelium included dark, homogeneous epithelial cells with a regular architecture and clearly visible borders and capillaries, without penetration of the contrast agent into the surrounding tissue (Figure 3). The neoplastic tissue is characterized by dark cells with an irregular architecture, varying sizes, and lack of clearly visible borders, with signs of neo-angiogenesis (twisted, irregular, and elongated tumor vessels with a larger diameter) and leakage of fluorescein through the capillary walls (Figure 5) (19). In a small prospective cohort study on 21 patients with suspected early OSCC confocal imaging with eCLE was performed on a total 43 unstained areas after 0.5% Lugol’s solution chromoendoscopy (19). Two endoscopists, blinded to the histology and endoscopic appearance of the lesions, reviewed the confocal images. The overall accuracy in providing OSCC diagnosis was 95%, and the sensitivity and specificity were 100% and 87%, respectively. Intraobserver agreement was almost perfect (\( \kappa =0.95 \)), and interobserver agreement

---

**Figure 3** Normal squamous epithelium in oesophagus-dark, homogeneous epithelial cells with regular architecture and clearly visible borders, capillaries directed toward the epithelium within the lamina propria, without penetration of the contrast agent into the surrounding tissue. Adapted from Templeton A, et al., *Clin Endosc*, 2013.
was substantial ($\kappa$=0.79). A more recent study analysed the utility of pCLE in a cohort of patients with previous head and neck cancer and Lugol-unstained lesions (20). pCLE could provide correct diagnosis in 34 of the 37 unstained areas. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of pCLE for the histologic diagnosis of OSCC in this cohort of patients were 94.1%, 90%, and 91.9%, respectively.

**Stomach**

CLE has been widely applied for the detection of neoplastic lesions and premalignant conditions of gastric cancer (GC), such as atrophic gastritis (AG) or intestinal metaplasia (IM).

The first classification of CLE images describing seven types of gastric pit patterns correlating with the disease spectrum ranging from normal mucosa, through AG /IM, up to early GC (Miami classification) was developed in 2008 (21). Recently, this classification was refined to include the vessel architecture along with the gastric pit patterns (22). Type I gastric pit pattern (regular pits with round/wide/slit-like openings) corresponding to normal mucosa (in cardia/corpus/antrum, respectively); type II was subdivided

![Figure 4](http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tgh.2020.04.02)  
**Figure 4** pCLE criteria for low-grade dysplasia and high-grade dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus. (A) Dark non-round glands. (B) Variable degree of darkness with sharp cut-off. (C) Cellular stratification. (D) Poorly identifiable goblet cells. (E) Glands unequal in size and shape. (F) Non-equidistant and irregular cells. (G) “Saw-toothed” epithelial surface. (H) Non-equidistant glands. (I) Enlarged cells. pCLE, probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy.
into IIa (regular pits with elongated openings, increased fluorescence in stroma) which represented inflammatory mucosa; IIb (reduced pits with dilated openings) corresponding to atrophic mucosa, and type IIc (appearance of goblet cells with dark mucin), which represents IM; type III was subdivided into IIIa (mild to moderate irregular pits with variable width of the epithelial lining) which represents low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia; type IIIb (prominent distorted pits with irregular epithelial lining), corresponding to high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia and type IIIc (atypical glands/dispersion of irregular dark cells) diagnostic for differentiated/poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (22).

Vessel architecture was also classified into 3 types. Normal mucosa showed type I (regular capillaries with normal calibre, anfractuous/honeycomb-like/coil-shaped for cardia/corpus/antrum, respectively), inflammatory gastric mucosa showed type II (increased capillaries with elevated leakage), while neoplastic gastric mucosa mainly showed type III (irregular capillaries with heterogenous leakage/dilated calibre) (22). The sensitivity and specificity of the established pCLE criteria were 89% and 99%, respectively, for AG, 92% and 99%, respectively for IM, and 90% and 99%, respectively, for GC. The interobserver agreement for the differentiation of neoplastic versus non-neoplastic lesions was substantial (kappa=0.70) (22). The pCLE appearance normal and pathological gastric mucosa are represented in Figure 6.

Two meta-analyses showed a high diagnostic value of eCLE in the detection of AG, IM and GC. The sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of AG were 88% and 98%, respectively, and 93% and 98%, respectively, for IM (23). Pooled sensitivity and specificity for gastric neoplasia were 81% and 98%, respectively. High sensitivity and specificity have also been demonstrated for the diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma (89% and 99%, respectively) (24). The eCLE appearance normal and pathological gastric mucosa are represented in Figure 7.

A double-blind study randomized 168 patients to undergo standard white-light endoscopy (WLE) and eCLE with targeted biopsies or WLE with a standard random biopsy protocol and showed no difference in diagnostic accuracy in a per-patient analysis. However, a per-biopsy analysis revealed that targeted biopsies with CLE had a significantly higher yield for IM than WLE (66% vs. 16%, respectively). The number of targeted biopsies needed to confirm IM was about one-third of that needed for WLE with standard biopsies (25). These data suggest that CLE can help reduce the number of biopsies for a diagnosis of gastric IM. However, given the large surface gastric area and the patchy distribution of gastric IM/dysplasia the narrow field of view of CLE represents a limitation, warranting a combination with broad-field (red-flag) techniques. A study comparing the diagnostic yield of magnifying flexible spectral imaging color enhancement (ME-FICE) alone and ME-FICE plus pCLE for IM detection, showed that ME-FICE followed by pCLE provided higher specificity (90% vs. 79%) and positive predictive value (PPV; 86% vs. 74%), however, similar sensitivity and negative predictive values (NPV; 96% and 97%, respectively) as compared to ME-FICE alone (26).

Those results were further supported by the evidence from a randomised controlled trial (RCT), which revealed that real-time pCLE targeted biopsies after FICE significantly improved the diagnostic yield of IM and early gastric cancer and reduced the number of biopsies required as compared to FICE with standard biopsies (75.1% vs. 31.5% on a per-biopsy analysis). However, the per-patient analysis showed no difference between the groups (27).

Similar results were obtained in combination with narrow-band imaging (NBI) (28).

Lastly, CLE was showed to enable to visualization of \textit{H. pylori} in combination with acriflavine staining (29) and lead to high sensitivity and specificity (93% and 86%, respectively) for a diagnosis of \textit{H. pylori}-related gastritis yielded (30). However, the oncogenic potential of acriflavine remains an important limitation of the method.
Small bowel

The usefulness of CLE in the diagnosis of small bowel pathologies has been investigated only in a small number of studies. A study of 38 pCLE examinations performed during a double-balloon enteroscopy, identified characteristic pCLE features of various small-bowel diseases based on the size of the capillary calibres and lymphatic vessels. CLE pathological features correctly correlated with histopathologic diagnosis in 91% cases (31).

The endomicroscopic criteria for celiac disease (CD) include villous atrophy (presence of ≥5 blunt-shaped villi observed on superficial scans), crypt hyperplasia (presence of ≥1 crypt on small bowel deep CLE imaging) (Figure 8, and increased number of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs >40/100 enterocytes) (32-34). A meta-analysis performed on three studies with 110 patients showed a 84% sensitivity and 94% specificity for CLE in the diagnosis of CD (30).

One single-center study comparing dual-focus NBI and pCLE for real-time diagnosis of adenomatous polyps in patients with FAP, showed that pCLE had a similar, high degree of diagnostic value as compared with NBI (35).

Interestingly, confocal endomicroscopy can visualise food-associated changes in the duodenal mucosa of patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). CLE showed a real-time response to food antigens in 22 of 36 patients with IBS and previously undetected allergies exposed to the diluted food antigens directly applied endoscopically to the duodenal mucosa compared to control sterile water with simethicone. As early as 5 minutes, CLE detected increased IELs, epithelial leaks, and intervillous space widening. The most reproducible phenomenon was the eruption

Figure 6 The pCLE appearance of normal and pathological gastric mucosa. (A) Normal cardiac mucosa-regular pits with wide openings. (B) Dysplastic gastric-dark epithelium with irregular and varying thickness is observed. (C) Differentiated adenocarcinoma-disorganized epithelium with dark and irregular glands. (D) Undifferentiated adenocarcinoma-dark and irregular cells with no identifiable glandular structures are observed. Adapted from Kin MY, et al., World J Gastroenterol, 2016. pCLE, probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy.
of fluorescein from the newly created leaks into the gut lumen. In this study IBS patients placed on exclusion diets informed by the CLE findings underwent improvement of their symptom scores by 74% at 12 months of follow-up (36). However, CLE remains an invasive test and the current protocol requires long intubation time, which can be rather impractical as routine diagnostic modality.

**Pancreas**

Most of the studies on the use of CLE in pancreatic pathology refers to the characterization of pancreatic cystic lesions (PCLs), with a small number of studies that more recently evaluated CLE in the diagnosis of solid lesions.

Several studies established the safety and feasibility of needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy (nCLE) for PCLs with an overall diagnostic accuracy ranging between 46% and 95% (37-42). Many of these studies, however, are affected by the lack of a suitable gold standard in many cases, where the combination of EUS, cytology and fluid molecular analysis may not provide a definitive diagnosis. In such cases, conclusive histopathology on surgical specimens is not always achieved. The diagnostic criteria for PCLs on nCLE imaging were proposed on the basis of

**Figure 7** The eCLE appearance of normal and pathological gastric mucosa. (A) Normal gastric mucosa in the fundus - gastric pits are round (solid arrow), net-like subepithelial capillary network patterns surround the gastric pits (dash arrow). (B) Normal gastric mucosa in the body-gastric pits are round (solid arrow). Honeycomb-like subepithelial capillary network patterns surround the gastric pits (dash arrows). (C) Normal gastric mucosa in the antrum-gastric pits are the line type (solid arrow). Coil-shaped subepithelial capillary network patterns surround the gastric pits (dash arrow). (D) Low grade gastric intraepithelial neoplasia-different sizes of gastric pits, capillary network is thickening and circuitous. (E) High grade gastric intraepithelial neoplasia-abnormal arrangement of gastric pits. The thickening capillary network and the increasing branch present a mass shape. Magnification: 1,000x. Adapted from Shu-Fang W, et al., *World J Gastroenterol*, 2012. eCLE, endoscope-based confocal laser endomicroscopy.
three studies (39,42,43). The specificity for the diagnosis of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) and serous cystadenomas (SCAs) neared 100% when finger-like papillae and superficial vascular network pattern were visualised, respectively (Figure 9) (38,40). However, in a study with 66 patients, the characteristic features for PCLs were associated with a modest sensitivity of 59% and a NPV of 50% (44). In a subsequent study the
predefined typical structures for mucinous cysts were also associated with a modest sensitivity of 66% (45). The low sensitivity of nCLE may be increased by combining the method with cystoscopy. A study of 18 patients evaluated the combination of nCLE and cystoscopy in the diagnosis of PCLs, focusing on two specific features—mucin on cystoscopy and papillary projections with dark rings on nCLE. While the sensitivity of cystoscopy alone was 90%, and that of nCLE was 80%, the two methods combined had the sensitivity of 100% (40). A recent multi-center study of 78 PCLs demonstrated the superiority of CLE over EUS and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) for the diagnosis of large (mean size of 40 mm), single, non-communicating PCLs. In this study, the sensitivity and specificity of nCLE for the diagnosis of SCA, mucinous cystadenoma, branch duct IPMNs, cystic neuroendocrine neoplasm, cystic solid pseudopapillary neoplasm, and cystic lymphomas were all ≥95% (42). However, in clinical practice the differential diagnosis of PCLs is often achieved by cyst fluid analysis, cross-sectional imaging and clinical history. Therefore, the definitive evidence that nCLE can improve characterization of PCLs is awaited.

The studies on nCLE diagnoses of solid pancreatic lesions are limited. Giovannini et al. described nCLE criteria for pancreatic adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine tumor (NET) (46). These diagnostic criteria were validated on a small of a cohort of 32 patients referred for EUS-FNA of solid pancreatic lesions. nCLE achieved sensitivities and specificities of 77% and 100%, respectively, for a diagnosis of adenocarcinoma, 100% and 97%, respectively, for NET and 50% and 100%, respectively, for chronic pancreatitis (46). Another prospective pilot study on 22 patients with solid pancreatic lesions revealed accuracy for nCLE of 90.9% (47). This level of diagnostic accuracy was not confirmed in a recent prospective dual-center study on 28 patients with pancreatic masses suspicious for malignancy, who underwent nCLE imaging. In this study, nCLE criteria showed sensitivity and specificity ranging between 19–93% and 0–56%, respectively. Moreover, the interobserver agreement was very poor (48). Overall, the studies on pancreatic pathologies are limited by small number of patients and restricted to few expert centres. There are no data on the learning curve, so it is difficult to conclude whether skills on generation and interpretation of images can be easily acquired by endoscopists.

### Biliary strictures

Conventional diagnosis of indeterminate bile duct strictures remains challenging due to the low sensitivity of ERCP-guided biopsy sampling or brush cytology (48% and 45%, respectively) (49,50). The feasibility of intubating the biliary tree with the CholangioFlex probe and performing real time imaging has been shown to be high in two large studies that enrolled 222 patients, where pCLE was technically successful in 96% (51,52) with excellent risk profile (51-53). To date, two classification systems have been established to allow differential diagnosis in biliary strictures. The Miami Criteria include the following five features: white bands >20 µm, dark bands >40 µm, dark clumps, epithelial structures, or fluorescein leakage (Figure 10B,C,D,E). The presence of two out of five criteria has sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of malignancy of 97% and 33%, respectively (54). The low specificity associated with the Miami classification has been attributed to inflammatory changes. For more accurate differentiation between malignant and inflammatory changes, four additional inflammation-specific criteria have been developed (Paris classification) including vascular congestion, granular pattern with scales, increased inter-glandular space and thickened reticular structures (Figure 10F,G,H,I) (55). A simultaneous evaluation of indeterminate pancreaticobiliary strictures with both the Miami and Paris classifications has been shown to increase the specificity to 83% (55). However, the inflammation induced by stent placement can lead to abnormal features which can interfere with pCLE assessment (52).

A recent meta-analysis of twelve studies involving 591 patients showed that the best diagnostic performance for undetermined pancreaticobiliary strictures is achieved combining CLE and conventional tissue sampling with a sensitivity and specificity of 93% and 82%, respectively, as compared with 87% and 76%, respectively, for CLE alone and 64% and 94%, respectively, for tissue sampling only (56). The Asia-Pacific consensus recommendations on endoscopic tissue acquisition for biliary strictures state that despite certain limitations, virtual histology by pCLE may be complementary to conventional tissue sampling techniques (57).

Although the data on the accuracy of CLE is promising, a high level of expertise required to interpret CLE in real-time and standardised training is required to improve the interobserver agreement and ensure reproducibility of the published results outside the expert centres. While it...
Figure 10 CLE images of biliary ducts. (A) Normal bile duct- reticular network of thin dark branching bands ≤20 µm. (B-E) Features of malignant bile duct strictures: (B) thick white bands (>20 µm), (C) thick dark bands (>40 µm), (D) epithelium, (E) dark clumps. (F-J) Features of inflammatory bile duct strictures on confocal laser endomicroscopy: (F) thickened reticular structures, (G) multiple white bands, (H) dark granular pattern in scales, (I) increased spaces between scales. Magnification: 1,000×. Adopted from Almadi et al., World J Gastroenterol, 2015. CLE, confocal laser endomicroscopy.
has been shown in one study that inexperienced observers achieved an accuracy of 83% after three weeks of training by experts, there is no data on the learning curve as operator (58). A study among 6 experienced endoscopists from 5 institutions found that interobserver agreement for individual Miami criteria ranged from poor to fair (59).

Cholangioscopy-guided direct tissue biopsy represents an alternative to CLE in cases where conventional imaging does not achieve conclusive results. There are no studies comparing the diagnostic accuracy of the two techniques.

Finally, there is only one small study that specifically assessed pCLE for the characterization of pancreatic strictures (60), therefore more research is required in this field.

Colon

Due to a narrow field of view, CLE is impractical for screening, surveillance or improving adenoma detection rate in the colon. Thus, endomicroscopic examination with CLE in colon relies on flagging techniques to target optical biopsies. The Miami classification provided criteria which enable to differentiate neoplastic from non-neoplastic mucosa and characterise colorectal lesions (61). In several studies, these criteria have shown a high sensitivity ranging from 86% to 100%, specificity of 76% to 85%, PPV of 55% to 91% and NPV of 88% to 100% (62-64). Recently, the characteristic features of sessile serrated adenoma/polyps (SSA/Ps) were identified based on a prospective evaluation of 260 CLE images of SSA/Ps from 7 patients. Features of SSA/Ps included a mucus cap with a bright, cloud-like appearance; thin, branching crypts; increased number of goblet cells with dystrophic appearance; microvesicular mucin-containing cells; and architectural disarray (65). These findings require further validation.

During colonoscopy, high diagnostic efficiency is required to allow immediate diagnosis and discard/resect decision-making. Studies have compared CLE with other, already widely available, advanced imaging methods, such as NBI, BLI or iSCAN. A meta-analysis of 102 studies on image-enhanced techniques for optical diagnosis of colorectal lesion showed that the sensitivity and specificity of NBI, i-scan, FICE, and CLE for differentiation between neoplastic and non-neoplastic colorectal lesions were high and did not differ significantly (66). Additionally, a study of 65 patients with 130 polyps smaller than 10 mm comparing prediction of histology by pCLE versus NBI, provided inconclusive results, since pCLE demonstrated higher sensitivity but lower specificity compared with NBI (67).

One Japanese study suggested that pCLE could be used for the prediction of the depth of invasion. Features of early colorectal cancer related to SM1 or deeper invasion based on the Sano's classification, showed that the loss of crypt structure identified had sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 80%, 94%, 91%, respectively. The performance of NBI was slightly lower with sensitivity, specificity and accuracy 60%, 94%, 86%, respectively (68). In summary, the results for CLE in detection and characterization of colorectal neoplasia remain inconclusive and do not support adoption of CLE in the everyday practice. The CLE appearance normal and pathological colonic mucosa are represented in Figure 11.

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD)

More promising is the evidence on the use of endomicroscopy in the evaluation of IBD. Imaging of intestinal inflammation by CLE could be used to diagnose IBD, differentiate Crohn’s disease (CD) from ulcerative colitis (UC), assess the severity of inflammation, predict relapse or therapeutic response and detect dysplasia (69-71). The characteristic features of inflamed bowel mucosa on CLE examination include irregular and tortuous crypts with wider lumens, an increased density of epithelial gaps and fluorescein leakage to the interstitial space (72-75) (Figure 12). Several studies show that CLE provides reliable assessment of the degree of inflammation and disease activity in patients with IBD with high correlation with the inflammatory changes compared to conventional histopathology (76-79).

Perhaps, even more interestingly, CLE enables to identify IBD-associated changes in macroscopically non-inflamed mucosa. Inflammatory activity is classified using a four-grade system combining morphological irregularity of the crypts and microvascular architecture with fluorescein leak. Based on these criteria, it was found that CLE could predict UC activity more accurately than WLE as more than half of patients with normal mucosa seen on conventional WLE showed acute inflammation on histology, while normal CLE findings were highly predictive of histological remission (77).

Thus, CLE might be particularly useful in the emerging “treat-to-target” approach in IBD, which involves achieving mucosal healing in patients with IBD (71,80). CLE has also been evaluated as a prognostic indicator of IBD relapse by assessing defects in intestinal barrier function of epithelial cells and the tight junction (79). CLE evidence
of increased cell shedding with fluorescein leakage appears to precede relapse within 12 months in patients in clinical remission. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of this feature to predict future flare-ups were 62%, 91% and 79%, respectively (79).

Although CLE enables to visualise several disease-specific microscopic features used in standard histopathology, which may help to distinguish between UC and CD, due to a limited penetration depth of CLE, subtle submucosal details and granulomas cannot be evaluated. Nevertheless, recently described CLE-IBD differentiation score, based on endomicroscopy assessment revealed accuracy of 93.7% when compared with the historical clinical diagnosis and the histopathological gold standard. CLE findings in patients with Crohn’s disease, showed significantly more discontinuous inflammation (87.5% vs. 5.1%), focal cryptitis (75.0% vs. 12.8%), and discontinuous crypt architectural abnormality (87.5% vs. 10.3%) than in ulcerative colitis (P<0.0001). Conversely, ulcerative colitis was associated with severe, widespread crypt distortion (87.2% vs. 17.5% in Crohn’s disease), decreased crypt density (79.5% vs. 22.5%), and irregular surface (89.7% vs. 17.5%; P<0.0001 for all comparisons) (81).

Several studies have examined the potential role of
CLE in the surveillance of patients with IBD (82-85). The CLE images indicative for dysplasia include ridged-lined irregular epithelial layer of cells, loss of crypts and goblet cells, distorted and dilated vessels with increased leakage (83). A meta-analysis of fifteen studies involving 719 patients showed that the pooled sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing colorectal neoplasia in IBD patients with CLE was 94% and 95%, respectively (85). Moreover, eCLE combined with chromoendoscopy (CE) showed a nearly 5-fold increase in the detection of neoplasia, with 50% fewer biopsies compared with WLE and chromoendoscopy, with overall sensitivity and specificity for neoplasia detection of 95% and 98%, respectively (82). CE-guided pCLE has been used to predict dysplasia with a sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 88.5%, PPV of 91.2%, and NPV of 100%, while chromoendoscopy alone showed sensitivity of 96.8%, specificity of 69.2%, PPV of 78.9%, and NPV of 94.7% (83). Despite encouraging level of diagnostic accuracy, the advantage of pCLE in diagnosing IBD-associated dysplasia is yet to be established mainly due to the narrow field of view, which restricts ability to perform pan-colonic examination and the time limitation of combining CLE with chromoendoscopy.

Finally, two case series suggested that CLE appears to be able to diagnose collagenous colitis as CLE permits to localize and measure the number of collagenous bands in the mucosal layer. Patients with collagenous colitis showed a well-defined “shell” around the crypts at a depth between 15 and 70 mm of the wall corresponding to the patchy increase in the thickness of the subepithelial collagenous plate described on histopathology (86,87).

In summary, advances in CLE imaging in IBD patients may be used not only to better understand the pathophysiology of the disease but also to guide optimized therapy and thus allow a completely new, personalized approach to IBD management. Currently, CLE is utilized primarily as an additional diagnostic tool in patients with IBD only in tertiary centres and, so far, it has not been included in any official guidelines on IBD management.

**Future directions**

In addition to provide insight into the morphology of GI epithelia, CLE is well placed to enable visualization of cellular function and characterization of molecular processes in vivo in combination with molecular probes (88,89). For example, a recent study with topical administration of fluorescent anti-TNF (adalimumab) in 25 patients with CD, detected intestinal membrane-bound TNF–mTNF1 immune cells. The quantitative image analysis revealed that a high amount of mTNF was associated with substantially higher short-term clinical response rates (92%) at week 12 as compared with the patients with a low level of mTNF (15%) (90). Targeted, peptide-based imaging agents are also promising for future use in early detection of cancer. Recently, a fluorescent-labelled monoclonal antibody against MG7-Ag, a novel tumor-associated antigen which is expressed in 94% of gastric cancers and 83.6% of sera from patients with gastric carcinoma, was used for in vivo...
imaging by CLE in xenografts and ex vivo imaging in human resection specimens. The staining was positive in 96% of gastric cancer specimens and 22% of non-neoplastic tissues (91). Similarly, the vascular homing peptide GEBP11 was evaluated as a target for the molecular imaging of gastric cancer in human tissue. A specific signal was observed in 93% of gastric cancer specimens but also in 29% of non-neoplastic specimens (92). Moreover, two animal in vivo studies have shown the feasibility of assessing epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression patterns by pCLE with labelled antibodies against the EGFR receptor (93,94). Finally, in the context of BE, a FITC labeled peptide (ASY) with high affinity for esophageal cancer cell lines was used as topical spray in 25 patients and could be imaged in vivo by pCLE. Based on the level fluorescence intensity this molecular imaging approach showed a sensitivity and specificity of 75% and 97%, respectively, for a diagnosis of early BE-related neoplasia (95). Altogether, these data suggest a potential role of CLE-based molecular imaging in the endoscopic diagnosis of cancer and in the evaluation of response to targeted anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory therapies.

Although most of the data published so far refer to luminal pathology, the possibility to reach with nCLE parenchymal organs also opens new avenues in the real-time optical biopsies of solid lesions. In this direction, a future avenue of research could be the combination of intratumoral injection of fluorescent labels and nCLE imaging of regional lymph nodes to refine the sentinel lymph node technique.

The advent of artificial intelligence in medical diagnostic might complement well with CLE diagnosis. Although data on training show quick learning curve even by non-experienced operators, most of the datasets for training are based on good quality images, which are often challenging to generate in clinical practice. Computer assisted diagnosis has the potential to help the operator interpret images in real time during CLE procedures and represent an interesting area of future investigation.

In summary, although CLE provides detailed and high-resolution insight into the morphology of GI epithelia, the narrow field of view remains the main limitation. Therefore, in the future it will be vital to combine CLE with appropriate red-flag techniques in order to limit the sampling error. Molecular probes can take CLE to a next level of performance. In the conventional diagnostic applications, multi-center, randomized studies will be required for definitive evidence. Finally, more rigorous standardisation of operator training is required to ensure reproducibility of results.
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