Colon cancer and immunotherapy—can we go beyond microsatellite instability?
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Abstract: Immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) has changed the landscape of cancer therapy in multiple tumor types since the first agent, Ipilimumab, was first FDA approved for the treatment of metastatic melanoma in 2011. Its role in GI Cancers, particularly in colon cancers, has not been as robust as in other tumor types but select patients with DNA mismatch repair defects, even those who has progressed on multiple standard chemotherapeutic regimens have demonstrated significant, almost unprecedented, responses in this multidrug refractory population. Unfortunately, these cases represent only a small percentage of colon cancer patients with little efficacy in the 95% of metastatic colon cancers who have proficient DNA mismatch repair. Multiple strategies have been, and are currently being, evaluated to determine the potential benefits of this drug class to microsatellite stable (MSS) patients.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is second most common cause of cancer deaths in men and women in the United States with an estimated 51,020 deaths projected for 2019. There will be an estimated 145,600 new cases of CRC in 2019 and approximately 22% of new cases are metastatic. Though death rates have improved by an average of 2.2% each year over 2007–2016, overall prognosis remains poor with 5-year survival in Stage IV colon cancer only approximately 14% (1). Since the development of 5-Fluorouracil in the late 1950s by Heidelberger and colleagues (2), the backbone of metastatic CRC (mCRC) treatment has been systemic chemotherapy. In the 21st century, targeted treatments began to add to this treatment paradigm. Monoclonal antibodies targeting vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFR) and epidermal growth factors receptors (EGFR), either alone, or in combination with chemotherapy, are now part of the standard of care in mCRC. The newest class of therapy that has added substantially to management of mCRC is immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). To date, the immune checkpoint pathways of highest clinical significance have been programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4). Ipilimumab, a CTLA-4 inhibitor, was the first FDA approved ICI in 2011 for treatment of metastatic melanoma (3). Since then, between October 2015 and March 2019, the FDA has approved ICIs in 14 different cancer types. The first agent the FDA approved for treatment of colorectal cancer, specifically microsatellite unstable – high (MSI-H) or deficient mismatch repair (dMMR) colorectal cancer that had progressed following treatment with fluoropyrimidine regimen, was Nivolumab in July 2017 based on data from the CHECKMATE 142 Trial (4). Stage IV Colorectal Cancer with dMMR can now be treated with immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) to induce durable tumor responses even after progression on standard chemotherapy agents with good tolerance of these drugs. However, only ~5% of patients with mCRC are dMMR/MSI-H. What about the other 95% of patients with mCRC with proficient mismatch repair (pMMR) or microsatellite stability (MSS)?
This review will provide a summary on checkpoint immunotherapies in colorectal cancer with a focus on reporting advances and challenges in pMMR/MSS tumors.

ICB

The two immune checkpoint pathways of highest clinical significance are PD-1/PDL-1 and CTLA4. CTLA4 engagement suppresses the tumor-specific immune response by inhibiting T cell proliferation and activation (5). Blockade of this pathway stimulates the adaptive anti-tumor immune response and ultimately tumor regression (6). Another mechanism that allows tumors to evade the immune system is tumor up-regulation of programmed death ligand-1 (PDL-1) which binds to coinhibitory programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) on T cells. The PDL-1/PD-1 interaction negatively regulates T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling by decreasing T cell proliferation and cytokine production (7). Monoclonal antibodies directed against PD-1, PDL-1 and CTLA4 have been developed to overcome these tumor-mediated negative regulatory signals and thereby allow tumor-reactive T cells to mount an effective antitumor response.

Success of ICB in mCRC: microsatellite instability selects for response

Since the success of treatment with anti-CTLA4 antibodies and anti-PD-1 antibodies in refractory metastatic melanoma, there has been an explosion of clinical trials evaluating responses in nearly every other tumor type. One early example of efficacy in colon cancer was reported in the first in-human phase I trial of PD-1 antibody (MDX-1106) in refractory solid tumors (8). In this initial dose escalation trial, 39 patients with advanced metastatic melanoma, CRC, castrate-resistant prostate cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) or renal cell carcinoma (RCC) received a single infusion of MDX-1106 with those having evidence of clinical benefit eligible for repeat therapy. Of the 14 patients with CRC, one achieved a CR persisting 3+ years; this tumor demonstrated dMMR with PD-L1 + lymphohistiocytic infiltrates surrounding tumor cells interposed with CD3+, PD-1 infiltrating T cells (9). In multiple subsequent studies, the only patients with mCRC who seemed to benefit from this class of drug were those with dMMR, those with microsatellite stable (MSS) or proficient MMR (pMMR) had virtually no response with either PD-1 blockade alone or in combination with CTLA4 inhibitor (10-12). The most representative trial of this group was Keynote-016, a phase II study evaluating efficacy of Pembrolizumab in 41 patients with progressive metastatic carcinoma with or without dMMR. Of the ten evaluable patients with dMMR CRC, 4 (40%) had a partial response (PR) and an additional 5 (50%) had stable disease (SD) at 12 weeks where none of the pMMR patients had an objective response, only 2 (11%) with stable disease (12).

Microsatellite instability results from impaired DNA mismatch repair due to mutation or hypermethylation of mismatch repair genes (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 or PMS2). This defect leads to accumulation of insertions and deletions in DNA repeat sequences (microsatellites) that ultimately result in increased number of frameshift or other somatic mutations (13). These dMMR tumors have multiple qualities that increase the likelihood that they would respond to ICBs that have been demonstrated in other tumor types which have robust responses to this treatment class, such as melanomas and NSCLC. These qualities which seem to be biomarkers of response, include high tumor mutational burden (TMB), PD1 expression and a high density of CD8+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).

Multiple studies in different tumor types have demonstrated that high TMB is a marker for response to ICB. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network published their gene expression analysis of 147 cancers and stratifying samples into two groups, those with mutation rates <8.24/10⁶ (non-hypermutated) and those with mutation rates >12/10⁶ (hypermutated). Seventy-seven percent of hypermutated tumors were MSI-H (23 of 30). The rate of frameshift mutations, which is thought to generate tumor neoantigens, was also 50-fold higher in hypermutated tumors than in non-hypermethylated tumors (14-15). Genome-scale analysis of patient with dMMR colorectal cancers demonstrate that these tumors can harbor thousands of somatic mutations, more so than even NSCLC and melanoma which harbor an average 147 and 135 non-synonymous mutations per tumor respectively (16). In Keynote-016, Le et al. reported a mean of 1,782 somatic mutations per tumor in dMMR c/w 73 mutations per tumor in pMMR (12).

Deficient MMR colorectal tumors have also been demonstrated a higher density of CD8+ TILs (17-19). Multiple studies have demonstrated a positive prognostic value of TILs in colorectal cancer. There is also data correlating higher T-cell density (TCD) with response to immune checkpoint blockade. A retrospective review
reported by Chakrabarti et al. of 12 patients with dMMR mCRC treated with Pembrolizumab noted that CD3+ and CD8+ TCD scores were higher in responders versus non-responders and in those with longer duration of disease control. Moreover, in Keynote-016, tumors with dMMR contained a greater density of CD8-positive lymphoid cells, especially prominent at the invasive fronts of the tumors (12).

Mlecnik et al. reported the genomic differences in patients with dMMR vs. pMMR. Analysis of gene expression profiles of MSI-H and MSS samples demonstrated more heterogeneous pattern amongst the latter group and that the 951 differentially regulated genes were mainly associated with immune related functions. The profiles of MSI-H tumors included higher expression of T-helper 1 cells, cytotoxic genes, cytokines and chemokines. Interestingly, a small subgroup of hypermutated MSS patients was identified with this high intratumoral immune gene expression. These patients had improved survival patterns that were closer to their MSI-H counterparts. Beyond microsatellite instability, potentially actionable alterations were also enriched in MSI-H/hypermutated tumors compared with standard MSS tumors (86% vs. 37%, P<0.001), including BRAF V600E (22% vs. 5%), BRCA1/BRCA2 alterations (22% vs. 1%), and NTRK fusions (8% vs. 1%). PIK3CA and PTEN oncogenic mutations were both significantly enriched in MSI-H versus MSS mCRC (41% vs. 16%, P<0.01; 35% vs. 5%, P<0.01) (20).

**Factors impeding success of ICB in pMRR/MSS CRC**

The attributes present in dMMR colorectal cancers which induce tumor regression when exposed to ICB are unfortunately not inherently present in their pMMR counterparts. CRC with pMMR are characterized by low number of tumor mutations (low TMB), neoantigens and infiltrating immune effector cells with increased activity of immunosuppressive pathways. These factors all heighten tumor evasion from adaptive immunity and provide increased resistance mechanisms to immune checkpoint monotherapy (Figure 1). Strategies to overcome immune resistance by combining immune checkpoint therapy with additional agents aimed at increasing tumor antigen presentation, effector cell recognition of tumor antigens, generating a more immunogenic tumor microenvironment and decreasing immunosuppressive signaling are being...
evaluated in preclinical and clinical trials.

**Increasing neoantigens and antigen presentation**

One tool to increase exposure of tumor-associated antigens to the immune system with subsequent destruction of cancer cells is through cancer vaccines or bi-specific antibodies. Combining these agents with immune checkpoint inhibition would likely further augment the immune response. The ideal tumor antigens are those that have higher expression in tumor cells with relatively low or no expression on normal cells to minimize toxicity. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) is one such antigen, with overexpression in >80% of colorectal cancers with relatively little expression in normal tissues (21). Cibisatamab (CEA CD3 TCB, RG7802, RO6958688) is a novel T-cell bispecific antibody targeting CEA on tumor cells and CD3 on T cells. Early results from a phase Ia/ Ib trial of cibisatamab as a single agent and in combination with atezolizumab (anti-PDL1) in CEA positive, treatment refractory tumors demonstrated a manageable safety profile (diarrhea, infusion reaction and fever) and some preliminary evidence of efficacy (22). Of the 31 mCRC patients receiving monotherapy at CEA-TCB doses >60 mg, 14 patients (45%) had disease control, 2 with PR by RECIST v1.1. Among patient receiving dual therapy, 9 of 11 (82%) mCRC patients treated at doses shown to induce tumor lesion inflammation by CT (80–160 mg) had disease control, 2 with PR. This study is estimated to be complete December 2019 with further results pending. Another bispecific antibody currently in development is MGD007 with dual affinity for anti-glycoprotein A33, which is expressed on colon cancer cells, and CD3. Preclinical studies demonstrated activity against colorectal cancer cells expressing gpA33 and it is currently being evaluated in phase I clinical trial in combination with a novel anti-PD1 antibody (23). A mRNA vaccine encoding four commonly found KRAS mutations in solids tumors including mCRC (mRNA-5671, Merck) has been developed and is currently in phase I trial in combination with pembrolizumab (24). Several other trials are underway evaluating the combination of immune check point blockade and cancer vaccines (24-28).

Oncolytic viruses activate the innate immune system by selectively infecting tumor cells and causing cell lysis with release of tumor specific antigens. Several viruses are under investigation both as single agents and in combination with ICB. Pexa-Vec is a thymidine kinase gene-inactivated oncolytic vaccinia virus engineered for the expression of transgenes encoding human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and β-galactosidase. In a phase I trial of a single IV infusion of Pexa-Vec in 23 patients with advanced solid tumors, including mCRC, dose dependent antitumor effect was noted without significant effect in normal tissues (29). Preliminary results from a phase I/II trial of Pexa-Vec in combination with durvalumab (anti-PD1) in patients with MSS mCRC and MSI-H mCRC refractory to prior PD-1 monotherapy were presented at GI ASCO 2019 (30). The combination showed a favorable safety profile; no dose limiting toxicities or grade 4-5 adverse events were observed. Further results regarding efficacy are pending.

The concept of an immunogenic cell death (ICD) has also been explored as a pathway to augment effect of ICB. This refers to a type of cell death characterized by translocation of calrecticulin (CRT), extracellular secretion of ATP and binding of high mobility group B1 (HMGB1) to toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) which causes robust cytokine release and activation of antigen-presenting dendritic cells (DCs) and CD8+ TILs (31). Certain chemotherapy agents, radiation and ablation techniques have all demonstrated the capacity to induce ICD which has generated interest in combining these treatment modalities with PD-1 or CTLA4 inhibition. Pertinent to colorectal cancer, both 5 fluorouracil (5FU) and oxaliplatin have demonstrated a favorable safety profile; no dose limiting toxicities or grade 4-5 adverse events were observed. Further results regarding efficacy are pending.

Various studies investigating combination therapy with chemotherapy and ICBs. The addition of atezolizumab to chemotherapy + bevacizumab improved PFS and OS compared to chemotherapy + bevacizumab in metastatic NSCLA leading to FDA approval of this combination in first line therapy (36). Several trials are underway evaluating inhibitors of PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 pathways in combination with chemotherapy +/- vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor (VEGFI) in pMMR mCRC. The phase I/II MEDITREME Trial, is evaluating the combination of FOLFOX combined with durvalumab plus tremelimumab as first line treatment in mCRC. Early results...
reported by Fumet et al. demonstrate acceptable safety in the 9 patients enrolled in the phase I component with disease control in 7 patients (4 PR, 3 SD) (37). The international, multicenter, open-label phase II/III CheckMate-9x8 will evaluate the safety and efficacy of Nivolumab in combination with standard-of-care (SOC) chemotherapy vs. SOC alone in first-line treatment for mCRC (38). SOC include standard 5FU backbone chemotherapy with oxaliplatin or irinotecan and biologic agent such as VEGF. The BACCI Trial is a phase II randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study of capecitabine/bevacizumab + atezolizumab vs. placebo in refractory mCRC that has completed accrual vs. placebo in refractory mCRC that has completed accrual with preliminary results presented at the ESMO 2019 conference. The study reach its prespecified primary endpoint with significantly longer PFS in patients randomized to receive atezolizumab and is the first positive study co-targeting ICB and VEGF pathway. In MSS patients, HR for PFS was 0.67 (0.44–1.03), ORR 43.5% vs. 8.54% and 12 months OS was 43% vs. 52%, HR 0.94, P=0.4 (39,40). The results of the phase II MODUL study, however, evaluating 5FU/bevacizumab with or without atezolizumab as maintenance following 16 weeks of induction treatment with FOLFOX demonstrated no improvement in PFS or OS with the addition of the anti-PD-L1 inhibitor at 18 months of follow-up (41).

Radiation combined with ICB is another avenue by which investigators are seeking to overcome immune resistance pathways. Radiation induces ICD as demonstrated by release of ATP, HMGB-1 and CRT with increased production of IFN-1 (42). The well documented abscopal effect demonstrated in multiple tumor types whereby radiation to a representative tumor site triggers regression of metastatic tumor distant from the radiated site is driven by immune-mediated anti-tumor effect (43-45). Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were increased post chemoradiotherapy in rectal cancer patients (46). A phase II study of pembrolizumab plus radiotherapy or ablation is being conducted in pMMR mCRC patients after at least 2 treatment lines (47). In the radiation cohort, patients started pembrolizumab one week after the completion of palliative radiation to a metastatic site. The combination was relatively safe with no grade 3 or 4 drug-related AEs reported, 1 of 11 patients (9%) achieved a PR, none in the ablation cohort. Additional patients were accrued to the radiation arm. Another phase I Ib/II study of pembrolizumab in combination with stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for resectable liver mCRC is underway with initial safety data presented at GI ASCO 2019 revealed no signal of increased immunotherapy-related toxicity; no grade 3 or 4 immunotherapy AEs reported (48). The combination of dual checkpoint blockade against both the CTLA4 and PD-1/PD-L1 pathway is likely to be even more synergistic with radiation based on pre-clinical models (49). A phase II study of durvalumab plus tremelimumab following palliative hypofractionated radiation in patients with MSS mCRC who have progressed on standard chemotherapy regimens is currently underway (50).

Epigenetic modulation by mechanisms such as histone modification and DNA methylation are mechanisms causing decreased tumor-associated antigens expression. Inhibiting these pathways with histone deacetylase (HDAC) or DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors in combination with ICB is postulated to promote anti-tumor immunity. Significant inhibition of tumor growth and prolongation of survival was observed in CT26 colorectal cancer-bearing mouse model after treatment with combination of PD-1 blockade and low-dose decitabine (51). A phase II study of pembrolizumab in combination with azacitidine enrolled 31 patients with MSS chemotherapy refractory mCRC. Of 30 evaluable patients, only 1 achieved PR (ORR 3%), 3 patients with SD and the study was stopped early for futility (52). Dual epigenetic therapy in combination with ICB in CT26 colorectal cancer-bearing mouse model compared with ICB alone dramatically improved tumor responses with CR in >80% of tumor-bearing mice due to increased reduction of MDSCs (53). ENCORE 601, a phase Ib/II dose escalation study of entinostat (HDAC inhibitor) with pembrolizumab in NSCLC with an expansion cohort in colorectal cancer is currently underway.

Combining mechanisms of ICB

Preclinical studies have demonstrated synergistic effects in anti-tumor immunity with combinations of PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA 4 inhibition (54,55). This combination of ICB blockade has also translated into increased clinical efficacy as demonstrated in phase III clinical trials in other tumor types such as melanoma, as well as dMMR mCRC patients in the nivolumab plus ipilimumab cohort of CHECKMate-142, leading to FDA approval of dual therapy in this population (56,57). By contrast, patients with pMMR treated with combination nivolumab/ipilimumab in CHECKMate-142 had no significant response. Despite this, interest has continued in combination PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 inhibition using novel agents. CCTG CO.26 is a phase II randomized trial evaluating the combination of durvalumab with
tremelimumab vs. best supportive care (BSC) in patients with mCRC who had failed all standard regimens (58). The study randomized 179 patients and with a median follow-up of 15.2 months, though there was no difference in median PFS (1.8 vs. 1.9 months) there was a statistically significant survival benefit of 6.6 vs. 4.1 months favoring durvalumab/tremelimumab, HR 1.01 (90% CI, 0.76–1.34). Grade 3/4 abdominal pain, fatigue, lymphocytosis and eosinophilia were significantly higher in the experimental arm but there was significantly less deterioration on EROTC QLQ-C30 physical function in treated patients. Though this is a positive trial statistically, it must be noted that the alpha of 0.1 used in this study allows for a 10% risk of false-positive results. These outcomes should be verified with additional studies, ideally a randomized, placebo controlled trial.

Novel ICI Monalizumab (IPH2201) is a humanized antibody targeting NKG2a receptors expressed on tumor infiltrating cytotoxic NK and CD8+ T-cells which bind HLA-E on tumor cells, blunting immune responses (59). Segal et al. reported results of the first in-human dose escalation of monalizumab with durvalumab with an expansion cohort in patients with mCRC (60). Of the 40 patients with MSS-CRC treated in the expansion cohort, 37 were evaluable with 3 PR and 11 SD, including 3 patients with tumor reduction who continued therapy for >200 days. DCR was 24% at 16 weeks. The combination was well tolerated, no DTLs reported and MTD was not reached in escalation and presents a promising potential therapy in mCRC warranting further study.

In addition to PD-1 and CTLA-4, additional co-inhibitory receptors have been identified as potential targets for drug development, namely Lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG3), T cell immunoglobulin-3 (TIM-3), and T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT) (61).

Lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG3) is an additional immune checkpoint pathway that negatively regulates effector T cell function, is a marker for T cell exhaustion (decreased cytokine production and T cell proliferation) and has an essential role in preventing autoimmunity. It is expressed on activated human T cells, NK cells and also expressed on tumor infiltrating Tregs which participate in antitumoral immunity. Pharmacologic agents have been developed targeting these pathways. AB154 is a fully humanized antibody against TIGIT with preclinical data demonstrating synergistic effects with anti-PD1 antibodies increasing T cell activation and IFNγ secretion (68) and is currently in phase I trial in advanced solid malignancies including MSS CRC in combination with PD-1 blockade (69). TSR-022 is an anti-TIM-2 Monoclonal Ab currently being tested in phase I trial for solid tumors (AMBER trial) with an mCRC expansion cohort in combination with an anti-PD-1 antibody (70).

**Downregulation of immunosuppressive signaling pathways: targeting MAPK, WNT**

The mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway (MAPK) is involved in proliferation, apoptosis and cell motility. Increased MAPK signalizing has demonstrated a role in tumor immune evasion by impairing recruitment and function of TILs. Inhibition of this pathway downregulates immunosuppressive cytokines as well as PD-L1 and VEGFα while promoting apoptosis markers (71). Preclinical studies demonstrated that inhibition of MAPK signaling pathway could decrease immune resistance by upregulating HLA molecule expression, potentiating anti-tumor T-cells, increase TILs and work synergistically in combination with anti-PD-L1 (71,72). In a phase IB clinical trial, atezolizumab was combined with dose escalating doses of cobimetinib in 23 patients with MSS CRC and demonstrated ORR of 17% (4PR, 5SD) with good tolerance (73). Despite these early results, a subsequent phase III open-label, randomized trial of dual blockade of these pathways (64). To that end, several drugs modulating the LAG3-MHC II interaction have been developed and are currently under clinical evaluation in combination with anti-PD1 agents in solid tumors, including metastatic pMMR colorectal cancer. Results from a phase I/IIa clinical trial of anti-LAG3 monoclonal antibody Relatlimab (BMS-986016) + nivolumab in previously treated metastatic melanoma with progression on prior anti-PD(L)1 therapy demonstrated similar safety profile to nivolumab monotherapy with disease control rate of 45%, ORR 16% (65). Based on these promising results, a phase II/III trial is underway with Nivolumab +/- Relatlimab first-line in metastatic melanoma (66). There is a phase II trial underway evaluating Nivolumab + Relatlimab in patients with MSS mCRC (67).

TIGIT and TIM-3 are additional inhibitory receptors expressed on NK cells, CD8+ T-cells and Tregs which participate in antitumoral immunity. Pharmacologic agents have been developed targeting these pathways. AB154 is a fully humanized antibody against TIGIT with preclinical data demonstrating synergistic effects with anti-PD1 antibodies increasing T cell activation and IFNγ secretion (68) and is currently in phase I trial in advanced solid malignancies including MSS CRC in combination with PD-1 blockade (69). TSR-022 is an anti-TIM-2 Monoclonal Ab currently being tested in phase I trial for solid tumors (AMBER trial) with an mCRC expansion cohort in combination with an anti-PD-1 antibody (70).
atezolizumab plus or minus cobimetinib versus regorafenib in patients with chemotherapy-refractory mCRC (IMblaze370), which enrolled 363 patients, did not demonstrate any differences in PFS, OS or ORR between the three cohorts. Less than 3% of patients in any group achieving an objective response and a significant increase in grade 3+ adverse events in the combination group vs. atezolizumab alone (74). Selumetinib (AZD6244) is another MEK-inhibitor under evaluation in combination with durvalumab and tremelimumab in solid tumors with a colon cancer cohort for safety and efficacy in the SELECT-4 trial (75).

The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is frequently dysregulated in colorectal cancers and is highlighted by Grasso et al. as a key mechanism of immune evasion in colorectal cancer (76). Molecular profiling of 1,211 primary CRC tumors through pooled databases demonstrated that both MSS and MSI-high cancer have lower T cell infiltration when molecular events that up-regulate the WNT pathway are present. Galinpepimut-S is a multivalent WT1 peptide vaccine that is being tested in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with advanced cancers, including mCRC a phase I/II, open-label, multicenter, multiarm study (77).

Modulating tumor microenvironment

The vascular endothelial growth factor pathway functions as an important negative regulator of the tumor microenvironment by inhibiting T cell function, stimulating proliferation of Tregs and inhibiting development of DCs (78-80). Preclinical data in a mouse model demonstrated increased anti-tumor effect in vivo from dual with PD-1 and VEGFR2 blockade with increased tumor growth inhibition vs. blockade of either individual pathway (81). In addition to monoclonal antibodies directed against VEGFR such as bevacizumab, small molecule TKIs with anti-VEGFR activity such as cabozantinib, and regorafenib, which have also demonstrated preclinical immune anti-tumoral activity in combination with PD-1/PD-L1, are also being evaluated in early phase trials. The results of one such phase Ib trial, REGONIVO, evaluating regorafenib plus nivolumab in patients with metastatic refractory gastric or CRC, was presented at ASCO 2019. Total of 50 patients were enrolled, 25 with mCRC who had received median of 3 prior treatments, and treated with Regorafenib 80–160 mg daily days 1–21 of a 28-day cycle and Nivolumab 3 mg/kg days 1 and 15. In the dose expansion cohort of Regorafenib 120 mg, dose was decreased to 80 mg due to frequent grade III skin toxicities. Objective tumor response was observed in 19 (38%) patients including 11 MSS gastric cancers and 7 MSS CRC; response rate of 29% in MSS CRC. Grade 3 or higher treatment related adverse events occurred in 34% of patients though felt to be manageable at reduced regorafenib dose of 80 mg daily. Correlative data analysed from pre- and post-treatment tumor samples demonstrated a reduction of Treg fraction with tumor response (82).

Interventions that would decrease activity of negative immunomodulatory cells such as Tregs, MDSCs and tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) would further support an immunogenic tumor microenvironment. Novel targets include TGFβ, CSF1 pathway, CC Chemokine 5 (CCR5), and IL-5. NIS793 is an anti-TGFβ antibody currently undergoing phase I evaluation with PD-1 blockade (83). Preclinical studies of anti-CSF1R antibody demonstrates activity against TAMs and have mostly been investigated in the treatment of tenosynovial giant tumors but have also been combined with nivolumab in phase I trial of mCRC and pancreatic cancer (84-86). A phase I trial is underway combining CSF-1R tyrosine kinase inhibitor, pexidartinib, with durvalumab in mCRC (87).

Suppressive metabolic tumor environment

A suppressive metabolic tumor environment contributes to ineffective T-cell function and therefore is a manner by while tumor cells can escape immune recognition. A metabolically permissive TME fosters T-cell effector function, proliferation, cytokine and mitochondrial function. A metabolically restrictive TME suppresses T cell effector function and proliferation, cytokine production and promotes immunosuppressive T regulatory cells (Tregs). Adenosine signaling through the hypoxia-CD39-CD73-A2aR pathway has emerged as key regulator of tumor immunity (88). Extracellular adenosine generated by CD39 and CD73 dampens the immune response by suppressing effector cell function and promotes immunosuppressive regulatory cells (89). The A2a receptor (A2aR) is a high-affinity receptor expressed on T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, macrophages, monocytes, and DCs; its activation inhibits effector cell activation, cytokine production and seems to trigger increased expression of immune checkpoint pathways such as PD-1, CTLA-4 and LAG-3 (88,89). Drugs targeting multiple steps in this pathway have been developed, against CD39, CD73 and the A2aR, and are currently being evaluated in phase I/II clinical trials as single agents and in combination with anti-PD-1 drugs—
Oleclumab (anti-CD73), CPI-444, PBF-509, MK-3814 and AZD4635 target A2aR. Early results from one such trial was presented at ASCO 2019—in the first in-human phase I trial in colorectal and pancreatic cancer patients, oleclumab alone and in combination with durvalumab demonstrated acceptable toxicity and some clinical activity with PR and SD observed in 1 and 2 of 21 CRC patients respectively (57).

Another important pathway involved in maintaining a suppressive TME is the Tryptophan-kynurenine-aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Trp-Kyn-AhR) pathway which is a mediator of immunosuppression in T-cell inflamed tumors. One target in this pathway was indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase 1 (IDO1), a principle enzyme of Trp catabolism. IDO1 is expressed by stromal cells of the TME and is induced by IFNγ due to CD8+ T-cell infiltration as part the immunosuppression mechanism. Epacadostat, an inhibitor of IDO1, demonstrated promising results in multiple early phase clinical trials in refractory solid tumors when combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors (90). However, in a phase III trial in melanoma, ECHO-301/Keynote-252, the combination of epacadostat with pembrolizumab failed to improve PFS and ORR vs. Pembrolizumab alone (91). Epacadostat is the only drug in this pathway that is undergoing clinical trial evaluation in colon cancers. There are currently several Phase I/II trials underway in pMSS colorectal cancer with Epacadostat in combination with PD-1 inhibitors +/- other agents [ECHO-206 – Epacadostat, Azacitidine and Pembrolizumab in advanced solid tumors, ECHO-207/KEYNOTE-723 Epacadostat, Pembrolizumab and mFOLFOX6 63 (91-93)].

**Conclusions**

In striking contrast to their hypermutated counterparts with dMMR, metastatic colorectal cancers with proficient DNA-mismatch repair are largely unresponsive to monotherapy with ICB. These tumors are characterized by immune resistance patterns such as low level of somatic mutations that serve as neoantigens, a poorly permissive tumor microenvironment with increased immunosuppressive cells and upregulation of immunosuppressive cytokines as well as decreased tumor infiltrating cells. Mlcnik et al. identified a subgroup of MSS tumors with genomic profiles that reflected higher expression of T-helper1 cells, immunostimulatory cytokines and chemokines such as IFN-γ, IL-15, CXCL16. Patients with higher “immunoscore” reflecting higher densities of CD8+ and CD3+ T cells in the tumor center and invasive margin, regardless of MMR status, had improved survival (20). Strategies to produce these results among otherwise inherently low immunoscore MSS patients is the focus of intense research. Novel targets are currently being evaluated in combination with single or dual ICB in an effort to modify immune resistance and overcome tumor evasion without excess off-target immune stimulation triggering autoimmune toxicities (Table 1). Ultimately, the goal is to increase tumor specific neoantigens that will lead to recruitment and proliferation of CD3+/CD8+ TILs while blunting the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Selected ongoing trials of ICB combination in MSS/pMMR CRC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study name/ID</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-specific antibodies/cancer vaccines/adoptive T cell therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03866239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02650713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT0351632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03948763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03953235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name/ID</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>CRC study population</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT03206073 (NCI-17-C-0092)</td>
<td>Pexa-Vec (JX-594) + Durvalumab + Tremelimumab</td>
<td>Oncolytic viral vaccine; PD1; CTLA4</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSI-H mCRC (prev PD1/PDL1) or MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03050814 (QUILT-2.004)</td>
<td>FOLFOX/bevacizumab +/- Ad-CEA Chemical therapy; Adenoviral vector; PDL-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02636036 (SPICE)</td>
<td>Enadenotucirev + Nivolumab</td>
<td>Tumor selective chimeric adenovirus; PD-1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02757391</td>
<td>Autologous CD8+ T Cells + Pembrolizumab</td>
<td>Adoptive T cells; PD-1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03202758 (MEDITREME)</td>
<td>Durvalumab + Tremelimumab + FOLFOX</td>
<td>PD-1; CTLA4; chemotherapy</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02873195 (BACCI)</td>
<td>Capetitabine + Bevacizumab +/- Atezolizumab</td>
<td>Chemotherapy; VEGF; PDL-1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02375672 (HCRN GI14-186)</td>
<td>Pembrolizumab + FOLFOX</td>
<td>PD-1; chemotherapy</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03414983 (Checkmate 9x8)</td>
<td>FOLFOX + Bevacizumab +/- Nivolumab</td>
<td>Chemotherapy; VEGFR; PD-1</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF/multikinase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03946917</td>
<td>Toripalimab (JS001) + Regorafenib</td>
<td>PD-1; VEGF/multikinase</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03977090</td>
<td>Genolimzumab (GB226) + Fruquintinib</td>
<td>PD-1; VEGF</td>
<td>Ib</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03927898</td>
<td>Toripalimab + SBRT</td>
<td>PD-1; SBRT</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03122509</td>
<td>Durvalumab + Tremeliumab + Radiation or Ablation</td>
<td>PD-1; CTLA4; radiation or ablation</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02888743</td>
<td>Durvalumab + Tremelimumab +/- high or low dose RT</td>
<td>PD-1; CTLA4; high or low dose RT</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03007407</td>
<td>Durvalumab + Tremelimumab after Radiation</td>
<td>PD-1; CTLA4</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04030260 (REGONIVO)</td>
<td>Regorafenib + Nivolumab + Radiotherapy</td>
<td>VEGF; PD-1; radiation</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigenetic modulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03993626 (CAROSELL)</td>
<td>CXD101 + Nivolumab</td>
<td>HDAC; PD-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02959437 (ECHO-206)</td>
<td>Azacitidine + Pembrolizumab + Epacadost</td>
<td>DNA Methyltransferase; PD-1; IDO-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02437136 (ENCORE 601)</td>
<td>Entinostat + Pembrolizumab</td>
<td>HDAC; PD-1</td>
<td>Ib/Ii</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (continued)
### Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name/ID</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>CRC study population</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combination immune checkpoint blockade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-1/PDL-1 Inhibitors + CTLA4</td>
<td>Intratumoral Iplimumab + GLA-SE and Nivolumab Chemotherapy</td>
<td>CTLA 4; toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4); PD-1; FOLFOX</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03982121</td>
<td>PD-1/PDL-1 + Natural Killer Cell Targets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02671435</td>
<td>Monalizumab + Cetuximab +/- Durvalumab</td>
<td>NKG2a; EGFR; PDL-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC RAS WT</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-1/PDL-1 + Co-inhibitor Receptor Blockade (LAG3/TIM-3/TIGIT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03628677</td>
<td>AB154 + AB122</td>
<td>TIGIT; PD-1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03642067</td>
<td>Relatlimab + Nivolumab</td>
<td>LAG3; PD-1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02817633</td>
<td>TSR-022 + TSR-042</td>
<td>TIM-3; PD-1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeting MAPK/WNT/PI3K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02586987</td>
<td>Selumetinib + Durvalumab + Tremelimumab</td>
<td>MEK; PDL-1; CTLA4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03374254</td>
<td>Pembrolizumab + Binimetinib or Pembrolizumab + Chemo +/- Binimetinib</td>
<td>PD-1; MEK; FOLFOX/FOLFIRI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03271047</td>
<td>Binimetinib + Nivolumab +/- Iplimumab</td>
<td>MEK; PD-1; CTLA4</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC with RAS mutation</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03377361</td>
<td>Nivolumab + Trametinib +/- Iplimumab</td>
<td>PD-1; MEK; CTLA4</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS and MSI-H mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03711058</td>
<td>Copanlisib + Nivolumab</td>
<td>PI3K; PD-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03761914</td>
<td>Galinpepimut-S + Pembrolizumab</td>
<td>WT1 Protein; PD-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeting negative immunomodulatory cells (Tregs, MDSC, TAM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03631407</td>
<td>Vicriviroc (MK-7690) + Pembrolizumab</td>
<td>Chemokine Receptor 5 PD-1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02947165</td>
<td>NIS793 + PDR001</td>
<td>TGF-b; PD-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02777710</td>
<td>Durvalumab + Pexidartinib</td>
<td>PDL-1; CSF-1R TKI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulating metabolic tumor environment (arginase, adenosine, tryptophan pathways)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03549000</td>
<td>NZV930 +/- PDR001 +/- NIR178</td>
<td>CD73; PD-1; adenosine A2AR</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03085914 (ECHO-207/KEYNOTE-723)</td>
<td>Epacadostat + Pembrolizumab + Chemotherapy</td>
<td>IDO-1; PD-1; FOLFOX</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>Solid metastatic tumors (phase I)</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02903914</td>
<td>INCB001158 +/- Pembrolizumab</td>
<td>Arginase Inhibitor PD-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS and MSI mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional mechanisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02834052</td>
<td>Poly-ICLC + Pembrolizumab</td>
<td>TLR3 Agonist; PD-1</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03647839</td>
<td>Nivolumab + BBI608 or BNC105</td>
<td>PD-1; Tubulin Polymerization Inhibitor (vascular disruption) STAT3 Inhibitor</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MSS mCRC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pMMR, proficient mismatch repair; MSS, microsatellite stable; CRC, colorectal cancer; ICB, immune checkpoint blockade.
immunoinhibitory response. Taking advantage of the ICD induced by radiation injury, certain chemotherapy, biologic agents such as VEGF1 are strategies to increase tumor antigen presentation. The majority of these trials remain in phase I/II level but there has been some positive preliminary data that will guide future phase III trial development. The early results of the BACCI Trial demonstrated a statistically significant PFS benefit when capecitabine/bevacizumab was combined with atezolizumab vs. capectabine/bevacizumab alone (40). Although the benefit was modest in this phase II trial, correlative analysis might help identify predictors of benefit. The REGONIVO Trial recent presented at ASCO in June 2019 demonstrated a 29% response rate in patients with MSS mCRC treated with Regorafenib and Nivolumab who had received a median of 3 lines of prior therapy. Thirty four percent of patients experienced grade 3 or higher toxicities though they were the toxicities that are well known in clinical practice with regorafenib alone as an approved 3rd line agent in refractory mCRC without significant additional toxicity due to nivolumab. The CCTG CO.26 is another recently published phase II trial reporting preliminary positive results with a statistically significant survival benefit of 2.5 months favoring durvalumab/tremelimumab over BSC in treatment refractory mCRC though alpha of 0.1 increases risk for false-positive results (58). The phase III IMBlaze 370 trial evaluating MEK1/2 inhibitor cobimetinib + atezolizumab against, standard of care in 3rd line mCRC, single—agent regorafenib was surprisingly negative despite promising results reported in the phase I trial which reported ORR of 17% and median OS of an impressive 10 months (73,74). There was no PFS or OS benefit over regorafenib alone, with a trend toward superiority favoring this standard of care control. While the results of the IMBlaze 370 trial might seem like a cautionary tale against moving toward phase III trials based on these somewhat modest phase I results, the reality is that there is a great deal of heterogeneity in this population and these larger studies are needed with correlative testing to identify the subgroup of pMMR that will ultimately benefit from these therapies and guide future trials.
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