Pancreaticoduodenectomy after Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass: a novel reconstruction technique
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Abstract: The obesity epidemic continues to increase around the world with its attendant complications of metabolic syndrome and increased risk of malignancies, including pancreatic malignancy. The Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) is an effective bariatric procedure for obesity and its comorbidities. We describe a report wherein a patient with previous RYGB was treated with a novel reconstruction technique following a pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD). A 59-year-old male patient with previous history of RYGB was admitted with painless progressive jaundice. Imaging revealed a distal common bile duct stricture and he underwent PD. There are multiple options for reconstruction after PD in patients with previous RYGB. The two major decisions for pancreatic surgeon are: (I) resection/preservation of remnant stomach and (II) resection/preservation of original biliopancreatic limb. This has to be tailored to the patient based on the intraoperative findings and anatomical suitability. In our patient, the gastric remnant was preserved, and distal part of original biliopancreatic limb was anastomosed to the stomach as a venting anterior gastrojejunostomy. A distal loop of small bowel was used to reconstruct the pancreaticojejunostomy and hepaticojejunostomy and further distally a new jejunojejunostomy performed. The post-operative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on 7th day. With the increase in number of bariatric procedures performed worldwide, pancreatic surgeons should be aware of the varied surgical reconstruction options for PD following RYGB. This should be tailored to the patient and there is no “one-size-fits-all”.
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Background

The obesity epidemic continues to increase around the world with its attendant complications of metabolic syndrome and increased risk of malignancies (1), including pancreatic malignancy (2,3). The Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) effectively treats obesity and its associated morbidities including metabolic syndrome (4). RYGB creates a gastric pouch with alimentary limb, as well as a biliopancreatic (BP) limb that joins the alimentary limb to form a common channel. Thus, it has both restrictive and mal-absorptive components. Patients with a mass in the head of pancreas are recommended pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) for suspected malignancy (5). As bariatric surgery is increasingly adopted in recent years (6), more reports of PD following RYGB are published. Post-surgical adhesions and altered anatomy following RYGB poses not only a diagnostic challenge by making endoscopy difficult, but also unique challenge of reconstruction following PD. There are many different techniques of reconstruction proposed. Here we describe a patient where the remnant BP limb was used for a venting anterior gastrojejunostomy. The pancreaticojejunostomy and hepaticojejunostomy was created with a new loop of jejunum and a new distal jejunojejunostomy was performed.

Methods

A 59-year-old male presented with painless obstructive jaundice of one week’s duration. He had a history of RYGB
for obesity performed 12 years ago at an overseas institution. His current BMI was 39 and medical comorbidities were type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and glaucoma. He also had previous Helicobacter pylori related gastric ulcer treated with triple therapy. On examination, there was icterus, and abdomen was non-tender with no masses felt. Serum biochemistry showed following elevated tests: bilirubin 102 μmol/L, alanine transaminase (ALT) 181 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) 153 U/L, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) 163 U/L and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) 469 U/L. Carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 was elevated at 186 μg/L. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) showed proximal common duct and mild intrahepatic ductal dilatation with a stricture in the distal common bile duct (Figure 1). Computed tomography scan of the thorax, abdomen and pelvis showed no distant metastases. The case was discussed at multidisciplinary hepatopancreatobiliary board meeting and surgery was advised due to suspected malignant stricture. At the end of the surgery, the BP limb measured 50 cm, alimentary limb measured 150 cm and the common channel measured 140 cm. Operative duration was 545 minutes, blood loss was 700 mL and length of stay 7 days. Histology revealed choledocholithiasis with chronic ulceration and a neuroendocrine tumour within the head of pancreas. Patient remains well at 30 months follow-up.

Discussion

With the global epidemic of diabesity and metabolic syndrome (2), bariatric surgery is increasingly performed (6). Although sleeve gastrectomy (SG) is the most performed bariatric surgical procedure, many patients still undergo the RYGB (6). In patients with previous RYGB, the anatomic reconstruction after PD remains a challenge due to altered anatomy. Similar to various techniques of reconstruction in ‘classical’ PD, there is no consensus on the best method of reconstruction in PD following RYGB. Reports on PD after a previous RYGB are limited to single patient case reports and case series of handful patients. A review of the international literature revealed a total of 121 patients (7-15). A systematic review by Morano et al. identified 25 patients from three case reports and five case series, with authors describe five different reconstruction techniques (13). More recently, Trudeau et al. reported 96 patients with a previous RYGB who underwent PD following RYGB. Reports on PD after a previous RYGB are limited to single patient case reports and case series of handful patients. A review of the international literature revealed a total of 121 patients (7-15). A systematic review by Morano et al. identified 25 patients from three case reports and five case series, with authors describe five different reconstruction techniques (13).
preservation of original biliopancreatic limb. The advantages and disadvantages of each option is summarized in Table 1.

In our case, we described a method in which the remnant gastric stump was preserved, and BP drainage was accomplished with a new limb from a segment of normal jejunum distal to original JJ anastomosis. The distal part of original BP limb and original JJ anastomosis were preserved. The gastric remnant was drained into the distal portion of the original BP limb as an anterior venting gastrojejunostomy. This method was partly similar to that previously described by Rutkoski et al. (11), resulting into the formation of a “double-Y” configuration except that Rutkoski et al. described a posterior venting gastrojejunostomy with good short term outcomes. Their patient developed symptoms of Roux-limb obstruction and had a short overall survival of 9 months due to metastatic cancer. Despite the increased level of technical complexity associated with altered anatomy in RYGB patients, this did not significantly impact on peri-operative outcomes.

Another possible way to utilize the remnant stomach is for reconstruction. Younan et al. described a method in which the gastric remnant is preserved and used for pancreaticogastrostomy (PG) reconstruction and subsequently drained by the same jejunal limb used for the HJ (17). Tsamalaidze et al. reported that out of seven patients with stomach preservation, the remnant stomach was used for pancreatic reconstruction in three patients and two patients had insertion of transgastric jejunal feeding tube for nutrition (18). One of the potential benefits is a tension-free anastomosis due to the proximity of the posterior gastric wall to the pancreas with reduced risk of post-operative pancreatic fistula. However, meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing PJ versus PG after PD found no significant difference in rates of post-operative pancreatic fistula but a higher rate of postpancreatectomy haemorrhage in the PG group (19). We routinely place a stent across PJ anastomosis along with two abdominal drains and are guided by drain fluid amylase for peri-operative care (20). Some authors advocate for remnant gastrectomy as the preferred option in patients with previous RYGB undergoing PD. Peng et al. reported 11 patients and recommend remnant gastrectomy with reconstruction using the BP limb (9), eliminating the need for an additional
Table 1 Advantages and disadvantages of the surgical options for PD following RYGB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Surgical options</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remnant gastric stump</td>
<td>Preserving the remnant</td>
<td>Nutritional access by gastrostomy tube is possible; possible importance to body’s endocrine and exocrine function; can use stomach for reconstruction, e.g., PG</td>
<td>Possible need to consider venting gastrostomy; risk of anastomotic leak if used for additional anastomosis; risk of marginal ulceration; the remnant gastric stump may obscure the operating field; risk of delayed gastric emptying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gastric stump</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resecting the remnant</td>
<td>Greater exposure of the operating field; no additional anastomosis required; no risk of delayed gastric emptying; removes potential site for marginal ulceration or bleeding; eliminates risk of future gastric malignancy</td>
<td>Increased complexity of the surgery—additional dissection required to resect remnant stomach, with risk of injury to gastric pouch or Roux limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gastric stump</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The original BP limb after</td>
<td>Use for reconstruction</td>
<td>Elimination of an added JJ anastomosis; avoids injury to the alimentary limb</td>
<td>Require sufficient length for original BP limb or anastomotic tension is imminent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specimen resection</td>
<td>(i.e., use for HJ, PJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resecting original BP</td>
<td>Ensures adequate length for tension-free PJ and HJ anastomosis</td>
<td>Additional dissection required to resect the original BP limb; need to decide fate of JJ anastomosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lum and new BP limb created</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use for other purpose,</td>
<td>Utilize the original BP limb as venting GJ—avoids the use of a gastrostomy tube</td>
<td>Additional JJ anastomosis may increase the risk of anastomotic leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e.g., venting GJ and new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BP limb created</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD, Pancreaticoduodenectomy; RYGB, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; BP, Biliopancreatic; PJ, Pancreaticojejunostomy; PG, Pancreaticogastrostomy; HJ, Hepaticojejunostomy; JJ, Jejunojejunostomy; GJ, Gastrojejunostomy.

anastomosis and hence postoperative morbidity related to enteric leak or delayed gastric emptying. Trudeau et al. reported 96 patients in which 54.7% underwent gastric resection with no significant difference in peri-operative outcomes and morbidity (16). Gastric resection requires additional dissection with an increase in operating time. Moreover, in patients with prior RYGB, the presence of adhesions may render additional dissection difficult. Hence, the decision to perform to preserve or resect the remnant stomach should depend on the following factors: (I) ability to improve the exposure within operative field, and (II) presence of adhesions and difficulty of dissection.

After deciding on whether to perform gastric resection, the surgeon then has to decide on the BP limb. If the original BP limb is preserved, it may be utilized for reconstruction for PJ and HJ. An adequate length is required to ensure a tension-free anastomosis, and this should be decided by the surgeon intraoperatively. Kawamoto et al. described PD in seven patients with previous gastric resection, with three out of seven having Roux-en-Y configurations (21). The authors recommended at least 50cm of afferent limb for PJ and HJ to avoid afferent limb syndrome. Should there be insufficient length, then a new BP limb should be created from distal jejunum and a new jejuno-jejunostomy created. The original BP limb can then either be resected or utilized as a venting gastrojejunostomy (as in our patient) should the gastric remnant be preserved, hence avoiding the need for a tube gastrostomy.

Our case also highlights the difficulty in evaluating suspicious biliary lesions after RYGB reconstruction. Both surgical and non-surgical options exist. Non-surgical options include enteroscopy-assisted ERCP (e-ERCP) and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided gastrogastrostomy ERCP (EUS-GG-ERCP), although both require special equipment and technical expertise (22). Image-guided percutaneous biopsy may also be attempted. Surgical options include laparoscopic assisted ERCP (LAERCP) (23) and laparoscopically assisted EUS (LAEUS) or a combination of both (24). The decision to proceed with surgery in our patient was based on suspicious imaging findings and endorsed by multidisciplinary board. Up to 20% of patients undergoing surgery for suspected biliary malignancy can have benign pathology (5,25). In the cohort by Trudeau et al., ERCP was attempted in 20.8% of patients but successfully completed in less than half (46.7%) (16). This highlights the importance of thorough preoperative discussion with the patient on the available diagnostic and
therapeutic options.

Conclusions

With the increase in number of bariatric procedures performed worldwide, pancreatic surgeons should be aware of the varied surgical reconstruction options available. There are pro and cons to each option and there is no “one-size-fits-all” approach and instead this should be tailored to the patient’s anatomy and pathology. We describe a novel technique of gastric preservation, using the distal original biliopancreatic limb for anterior venting gastrojejunostomy, creating a new Roux-limb from jejunum for pancreaticojejunostomy and hepaticojejunostomy and performing a second jejunojejunostomy distal to index jejunoejunostomy.
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