Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) represents a spectrum of disease which is histologically defined as steatotic liver disease that occurs in the absence of significant alcohol consumption. Significant alcohol consumption is defined as >21 standard drinks per week in men and >14 standard drinks per week in women. This definition is universally accepted for taking NASH patients in trial. Mild disease (non-alcoholic fatty liver) involves mild macrovesicular steatosis without inflammation, whereas severe disease is characterised histologically by hepatocyte ballooning and lobular inflammation (NASH). Severe disease with fibrosis lead to end-stage liver fibrosis or cirrhosis (1). Prevalence of NAFLD varies worldwide and ranges from 20–40% depending upon the criteria used for diagnosis like ultrasound/impaired liver functions and or biopsy. Its prevalence increases to 60–70% among the obese population in whom the mortality is also high (2–4). For the diagnosis of NAFLD histological evaluation remains the gold standard both in pretransplant and post-transplant patients. However, biopsy is seldom done in majority of centres due to poor patient compliance, limited treatment options, procedural risks, and cost.

NASH related cirrhosis is now one of the commonest causes of liver disease all over the world and the second commonest cause of LT in developed countries (5). Patients transplanted for NASH related cirrhosis have higher risk...
of recurrence of NASH related liver disease compared to patients with other etiology due to persistence of their previous metabolic risk factors which are often exacerbated by immunosuppressive treatments used after liver transplantation (LT) (5-7).

**Post liver transplant recurrence or de novo NAFLD**

The development of fatty liver (steatosis) in post-LT in patients is common (8-10). LT resolves the complications of NASH-related cirrhosis however the metabolic risk factors for NASH do persist and even tend to increase after LT due to immunosuppression with steroids and calcineurin inhibitors (CNI). The definition of recurrence versus de novo disease requires identification of preexisting NAFLD/NASH, in patients undergoing LT. If NASH was diagnosed before the LT and liver shows steatosis after LT it is considered as recurrence and if there was no steatosis prior to LT and it appears for the first time after LT it is considered as de novo NAFLD. It is often under recognized or under reported due to lack of histology prior to LT. There are no histologic features that can differentiate recurrent from de novo NAFLD/NASH after LT. However, these two forms of liver steatosis carry different prognosis and hence should be categorised separately (11).

The development of steatosis posttransplant is a risk factor for NASH and hepatic fibrosis. In a study by Malik et al. (12) 98 patients with NASH related cirrhosis underwent LT. Recurrence of steatosis was seen in 70% of the recipient, of this one fourth (25%) had recurrent NASH and 18% had stage II/IV or greater fibrosis at a mean of 18 months follow-up. Patients with recurrent NASH had a higher incidence of diabetes, weight gain, and dyslipidemia at the time of diagnosis of recurrence and no other specific risk factor were identified related to donor or recipient. Similar were the results by Dureja et al. (8) who analyzed posttransplant data in patients (n=88) who underwent transplant for NAFLD. This study reported prevalence of recurrent NAFLD to be 39%, recurrent NASH to be 28.4% and fibrosis (stage 3 and 4) to be 3.4% respectively.

There is paucity of data on the prevalence of de novo NAFLD/ NASH in patients who undergo LT for reason other than NASH related cirrhosis. In a retrospective study by Galvin et al. (13), 430 post-transplant patients were evaluated for de novo steatosis. They excluded patients with NASH related cirrhosis. They found over one third (33%, n=143) had evidence of de novo steatosis and/or NASH at a median of 3 years after liver transplant. Modifiable risk factors associated with development of de novo NAFLD were diabetes, BMI, weight gain after LT, and sirolimus-based immunosuppression. In another study Vallin et al. (11) compared recurrent NAFLD and patients with de novo NAFLD. During follow-up of 5 years post-transplant, severe fibrosis (stage 3 or 4) and steatohapatitis were significantly more in patients with recurrent NAFLD compared to patients with de novo NAFLD. This study suggests that recurrent NAFLD and de novo NAFLD after LT are two different entities and recurrent NAFLD appears to be a more severe and irreversible disease than de novo NAFLD. Effect of recurrent NAFLD on graft survival was analysed by Yalamanchili et al. (14) and it was concluded recurrence of NAFLD after transplant does not have much impact on graft survival compared to LT for other aetiologies.

**Risk factors for the development of NAFLD post liver transplant**

Hepatic steatosis is considered as the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome (MS). Majority of patients (80–90%) with NAFLD without LT and 50–60% of post-transplant patients with hepatic steatosis meet one of the criteria for MS (6,15).

Factors related to recurrence of NAFLD post-transplant can be related to recipient factor, environment factor, genetic factors and immunosuppressive factors (Table 1). The main risk for the recurrence of hepatic steatosis appears to be the ongoing metabolic derangements which include insulin resistance or diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and obesity. These risk factors often get exacerbated by immunosuppressive agents like steroids and CNI post LT. Finally, in few studies steatosis in donor liver has been shown to influence both the occurrence and progression of NAFLD in LT patients in few studies (6,16-20).

Immunosuppressive agents used post LT plays a significant role in MS in patients with NASH related LT. Insulin resistance which is a key component in MS is promoted by corticosteroids as it decreases peripheral glucose absorption, increase hepatic glucose production thereby increases risk of post-transplant diabetes. CNI, including cyclosporine and tacrolimus, are also diabetogenic in nature (20). Both steroid and CNI enhance the incidence of hypertension and dyslipidemia, promoting fatty liver in the graft liver. Sirolimus increases triglyceride production and causes insulin resistance and thereby increased the risk
Interactions between donor and recipient genetics may also affect disease recurrence. Gene variants, such as the patatin-like phospholipase domain—containing 3 (PNPLA3) and transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2) E167K, which are contributory to hepatic triglyceride remodeling and interference of very-low-density lipoprotein secretion have been studied in few studies (22). These genetic alleles confer susceptibility for the risk of fibrosis and steatosis. There is no known association between donor clinical risk factors for hepatic steatosis and the risk for recurrent or de novo hepatic steatosis in the transplanted liver graft (22,23).

Management of post liver transplant NAFLD

There are few studies available on the management of de novo or recurrent NAFLD after liver transplant. Majority of recommendation are based on studies done on patient who do not have LT. As in pre-transplant patients no definitive pharmacologic therapies are available for the treatment of hepatic steatosis developed post-transplant (recurrent or de novo) (Figure 1).

Prevention is better than cure so the management of metabolic components of MS after transplant should start with prevention.

Weight reduction

Intervention to prevent weight gain may be more successful than weight loss attempts after LT. Avoiding weight gain have long-term benefits in reduction of hypertension, hyperlipidemia and diabetes with subsequent reduction in cardiovascular disease (CVD), renal dysfunction
and fatty liver (24,25). However, the right timing for implementation of lifestyle modification in recurrent or de novo NAFLD post LT has yet to be determined. It has been shown in non-transplant cohorts of patients with NAFLD, that lifestyle changes associated with weight loss of 10% can reduce steatohepatitis and regress hepatic fibrosis in 47%, and 19%, respectively (25). In terms of physical activity, both aerobic and resistance training are beneficial in reducing steatosis and necroinflammation in NAFLD patients. However large studies in post-transplant setting are not available. In a study by Krasnoff et al. (26) liver transplanted patients (n=151) were randomized to exercise and dietary counselling or usual care. Patients randomized to exercise and dietary counselling showed a similar increase in body weight, fat mass and lean mass compared with the control group. However, only one third of patients showed complete adherence to exercise group. Fully adherent patients did show improvements in VO2max (maximum oxygen consumption) and body composition when compared to nonadherent patients. The maximum weight gain occurs in the first year post LT; hence early intervention to prevent weight gain in the first year of LT is best time to prevent liver steatosis.

**Key points**

- Early prevention of weight gain should be advised in all transplant recipients; in particular those with NASH related LT;
- Lifestyle modifications like avoiding alcohol, smoking, excessive fructose intake in forms of cola drinks, low carbohydrate diet and regular exercises should represent the first-line therapy in patients with MS and/or recurrent or de novo NAFLD/NASH after LT;
- In patients who are overweight or obese after LT, a weight loss of 7% to 10% should be pursued with low calorie diet and aerobic and resistance physical activity as it helps in patients who have NASH without liver transplant;
- A multidisciplinary approach which includes primary physician, dietician, transplant coordinator and patient councillor is advisable after LT to prevent and correct post LT steatosis.

Bariatric surgery had shown promising results in patients with NASH to reverse steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis. In a meta-analysis done by Mummadi et al. (27) in patients with NAFLD (non-LT population) who underwent bariatric surgery showed reduction in BMI (>10%) was correlated with improvement of steatosis in 92%, steatohepatitis in 81% and fibrosis in 66% of patients. Complete resolution of NASH was seen in 70% of patient’s post-bariatric surgery. It was concluded that bariatric surgery is helpful in reversibility of NAFLD.

Patients who were obese and underwent a simultaneous bariatric surgery and LT shows less weight gain and hepatic steatosis post LT. In a study 37 patients with BMI >35 who underwent only LT and weight loss prior to LT were compared with 7 patients who underwent LT with sleeve gastrectomy. There was a higher weight gain, steatosis, post-transplant diabetes, graft loss and death in patients who underwent only LT when compared with patients who underwent LT and simultaneous sleeve gastrectomy. This proof of concept study indicates promising role of simultaneous bariatric surgery in obese patients undergoing transplant to prevent hepatic steatosis and weight gain post LT. Hence weight reduction or prevention of weight gain remains the cornerstone of preventive and corrective method to control post LT recurrence of NAFLD (28). Routine use of simultaneous sleeve gastrectomy in order to prevent hepatic steatosis in obese liver transplant patients has yet to be proven in large studies.

**Pharmacotherapy**

There is paucity of studies for management of NAFLD in patients after LT. Hence pharmacological management of post-transplant NAFLD/NASH is not different from management of NAFLD in non-transplant patients. Though treatment could be extrapolated as in non-transplanted patients, various factors need to address in patients after LT like dose and duration of immunosuppression used, interaction with various drugs, transplant complications, donor related factors and genetics of recipient. Renal complications, graft rejection and metabolic complications related to immunosuppression will affect the drugs used for the treatment of NAFLD. Hence drugs used in non-transplant patients may not be effective in patients post LT. Hypertension management is same as in general population and general principles like sodium restriction, smoking cessation, alcohol avoidance and exercise, are first line interventions. Obstructive sleep apnea associates strongly with obesity and hypertension so should be assessed and treated as well.

The use of insulin sensitizers medications remains the cornerstone of management of NAFLD like metformin and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonist like
pioglitazone (4). Metformin improves aminotransferases in few studies; however, pooled results have found no significant improvement in NASH. This meta-analysis concluded little evidence to support the use of metformin in patients with NAFLD without diabetes (29).

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs), including Pioglitazone, were evaluated in a large landmark study by Sanyal et al. (30) in which 247 adults with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and without diabetes received pioglitazone at a dose of 30 mg daily (80 subjects), vitamin E at a dose of 800 IU daily (84 subjects), or placebo (83 subjects), for 96 weeks. Both Vitamin E and Pioglitazone demonstrated improvement in different components of NASH like hepatic steatosis, ballooning, and inflammation; however, only Vitamin E showed statistically significant improvement. Liver fibrosis did not achieve any significant reduction in either group. Prolonged use of Vitamin E may increase the risk of malignancy like prostate cancer in some studies and found to have no protective effect in others, so its prolonged use especially in elderly patients needs further data (31, 32). Vitamin E and pioglitazone may have a role in NASH in non-diabetic patients with NAFLD but no data exist on its use in liver transplant recipients. Transplant recipients are at higher risk of CVD and malignancies and both of these agents have adverse risk profiles including weight gain, CVD, and malignancies (33) so there is no evidence that Vitamin E or pioglitazone is effective in the treatment of NAFLD in transplant recipients. Vitamin E and pioglitazone may have a role in NAFLD in non-diabetic pretransplant patient but no data exist on its use in liver transplant recipients. Transplant recipients are at higher risk of CVD and malignancies and both of these agents have adverse risk profiles including weight gain, CVD, and malignancies (33) so there is no evidence that Vitamin E or pioglitazone is effective in the treatment of NAFLD in transplant recipients. Liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide-1 analog, shows promise in NAFLD/NASH pretransplant, however, its safety in pretransplant NASH has not been fully evaluated. Its use in post-transplant NAFLD has not been evaluated (34).

Immunosuppression protocols

Immunosuppression regimens after LT influence the metabolic profile of liver transplant recipients. Patients should be carefully monitored for the recurrence of MS and NAFLD especially in patients with NASH related cirrhosis. Corticosteroids and CNI are associated with increased risk of development of weight gain, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Hence, dose and duration of steroids should be minimised during maintenance phase of immunosuppression. In a meta-analysis, no significant difference was seen in graft loss, infection rates, and overall survival in patients with steroid-free regimens versus steroid-based immunosuppression (35). Hence, steroid should be either avoided or to be used to minimum duration. Few studies have shown allograft steatosis with use of tacrolimus. Sirolimus in combination with CNI has been associated with dyslipidemia, insulin signaling pathway alteration and steatosis (36, 37). Use of prebiotics and probiotics have limited use in the management of NAFLD pre and post-transplant.

Recommendations for prevention of NAFLD/NASH post LT

- Early prevention of weight gain should be advised in all transplant recipients; in particular those with NASH related LT;
- Lifestyle modifications like avoiding alcohol, smoking, excessive fructose intake in forms of cola drinks, low carbohydrate diet, and regular exercises should represent the first-line therapy in patients with MS and/or recurrent or de novo NAFLD/NASH after LT;
- Till we have more data on use of drugs in patients with post LT and NAFLD/NASH, no single drug can be recommended for prevention/treatment for recurrence of post-transplant NAFLD/NASH;
- Drugs used to treat components of MS like hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes can be used in the transplant recipient with NAFLD/NASH to prevent future complications related to these diseases;
- Patients transplanted for NASH-related cirrhosis, immunosuppression with steroids should be minimised for dosages and duration;
- CNI minimization or alteration may be tried in patients who develop components of MS like dyslipidemia, hypertension or insulin resistance.

Summary

NASH-related cirrhosis and LT is growing all over the world with rising incidence of diabetes and obesity. LT is the definitive cure for complications related to NASH related cirrhosis. However, metabolic factors which were commonly present in patients with NASH related cirrhosis worsen after LT due to immunosuppressive regimen. As there are no trials in managing NAFLD/NASH post LT most of data are extrapolated from trials used in patient
with NASH who do not have LT.

NAFLD and components of MS prior to LT like obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes continue to play an important role in development of hepatic steatosis post-transplant. Life style modifications, prevention of weight gain, no or minimization of steroid use, simultaneous bariatric surgery in obese patients still remains the cornerstone of managing patients with NASH both pre and post LT. Use of metformin, statins, insulin sensitizers, vitamin E all have been tried with limited success in patients with NASH before transplantation and are being used after LT in patients with NASH. Many emerging therapies for NAFLD are currently under investigation and require further investigation in large randomized trials.
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