Introduction

Postoperative pancreatic fistula (POPF) remains the major postoperative cause of morbidity and mortality following pancreatic surgery. Since 2005, the International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF) definition and classification has been adopted worldwide allowing the comparison among different surgical approaches and mitigation strategies. Over the last 11 years, several limitations have emerged from clinical practice and in 2016 the International Study Group for Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS) updated the POPF definition and grading system. Objectives of this review article were to summarize modifications in the updated ISGPS definition and to illustrate their clinical impact.
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The 2005 ISGPF definition and grading

Pancreatic fistula has been defined as “an abnormal communication between the pancreatic ductal epithelium and another epithelial surface containing pancreas-derived, enzyme-rich fluid” (2). POPF is clinically detected using the quantitative measurement of amylase content in the drainage fluid. According to the 2005 definition, POPF was diagnosed when the amylase content was greater than 3 times the upper normal serum value starting from the...
postoperative day (POD) 3.

ISGPF classified POPF into three different grades with increasing clinical severity. Grade A was characterized by drainage fluid rich in amylase but with no clinical sequelae. The grade B fistula required a change in the postoperative management and specific treatments to promote the healing of the fistula as parental and enteral nutrition and antibiotics. Finally, the grade C fistula required major deviations from the normal clinical pathway as invasive procedures including surgical reoperation. In this condition, sepsis and organ dysfunction might be present as death may occur.

**Issues and controversies of 2005 definition and grading**

The first issue regarding the 2005 definition concerned the inclusion of grade A in the POPF definition as a postoperative complication since these patients had an uneventful postoperative clinical course (1,5). As a consequence, most of the studies included grade B and grade C in a new category named “clinically relevant fistula” and grade A was mostly reported separated or integrated with the no fistula group (6,8-11). The second issue concerned the unclear distinction between grade B and grade C inclusion criteria (6). In particular, the classification of a POPF requiring an “invasive procedure” was ambiguous due to a partial inconsistency between the text and the summary table in the original paper (2). The placement of an invasive drain with a percutaneous approach has been defined as grade C in the text, whereas it was considered as a “possible” grade B (“yes/no”) procedure in the summary table. As a result, patients undergoing percutaneous drainage were often differently classified according to the various interpretations (6,12-14). Finally, a further concern regards the shift of grade A into a grade B POPF when patients were discharged with the drain in situ. This event occurred commonly in patient undergone distal pancreatectomy that usually does not experience further complications.

**New 2016 ISGPS definition and grading**

In 2016 the ISGPF reconvened as the ISGPS to update and revise the POPF definition and grading system (4). Although the pancreatic fistula definition was unchanged, the criteria for its diagnosis underwent a radical change. The increase in amylase content of the abdominal fluid alone is no longer sufficient to define POPF, but it has to be associated with an impaired clinical condition causally linked with the pancreatic leak (Figure 1). Grade A POPF is therefore no longer existing but it has been replaced with a new category characterized by an asymptomatic pancreatic leak called “biochemical leak” (BL). The drain in situ for an extended period following the discharge was explicitly approved for this condition.

Finally, ISGPS provided a stricter border delineation of the grade B and grade C POPF (Table 1). Patients that experienced specific treatments to promote the fistula healing, including invasive procedures such as percutaneous or endoscopic drainage of abdominal collections and angiographic procedure, were included in the grade B. Whereas, grade C was limited to those patients that
developed organ failure, required a reoperation or died because of the POPF. The ISGPS provided a specific definition of organ failure as “the need for reintubation, hemodialysis, and/or use of inotropic agents for >24 hours because of respiratory, renal, or cardiac insufficiency, respectively” (4) while sepsis was excluded from the criteria. Finally, it was specified that conditions or treatments mentioned above had to be POPF related in order to define the fistula grade.

**Clinical implications**

Changes in the POPF definition and grading had relevant implications on its rate and classification (1). Applying the new definition in our series revealed a general reduction of the POPF incidence from about 34% to 27% with specific differences among surgical procedures. According to the previous definition, distal pancreatectomy was associated with a higher POPF rate compared to pancreaticoduodenectomy; whereas, with the updated classification, distal pancreatectomy is characterized by a lower than pancreaticoduodenectomy POPF rate, but by a higher BL incidence (1).

The redefinition of the criteria for each grade lead to 10% of patients downgraded from grade C to grade B POPF (1). Grade C POPF became “more severe” thanks to the more stringent inclusion criteria with increased hospital costs (147% more) than before. Grade C is now a rarer condition associated with a higher mortality rate (44%), a higher reoperation rate (69%), organ failure (89%), requiring intensive care admission (83%) and where sepsis is always present. Consequently, the rate of grade B increased mostly due to the inclusion of patients undergone “invasive procedure”, but not a reoperation, with a concomitant 28% increase in the median hospital costs. These changes didn't modify significantly the overall rate of patients requiring intensive cares, enteral and parental nutrition, hospital length of stay and the readmission rate demonstrating that, invasive procedures, such as percutaneous or endoscopic drainage placement and as angiographic procedures, are associated with rapid improvements in clinical conditions and better outcomes than reoperation. According to this new definition, patients with a grade B POPF may also experience postoperative death when not POPF related. However, this event occurred in less than 1% of cases (1) indicating that mortality following pancreatic surgery is almost invariably POPF related. Regarding the inclusion of organ failure as grade C criteria, we found that it was almost always associated with the reoperation and the POPF-related death occurrence (1). For this reason, further studies are needed to clarify its inclusion as an independent criterion for the grade C definition.

We observed that, from the 2005 study, the new scheme and definition increase the ability to stratify patients undergone pancreatic surgery in three discrete groups of patients that significantly differ in terms of length of stay, management setting, clinical impact, hospital readmission rate, and hospital costs (1).

**Conclusions**

POPF is a common complication following pancreatic surgery that might prove fatal. Since 2005 ISGPF provided a common definition and classification that was worldwide-accepted and allowed a standardized report of surgical results. The 2016 updated version defined POPF as a relevant clinical event and developed a new classification system that improves the previous by stratifying different conditions for clinical and economic outcomes.
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